AGENDA
COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 16, 2020
1:00 P.M.

****GOVERNOR’S EXECUTIVE ORDER N-25-20****
****GOVERNOR’S EXECUTIVE ORDER N-29-20****
**RE CORONAVIRUS COVID-19**


Members of the public who wish to participate in the Community Advisory Committee Meeting may do so via the following webinar link or teleconference call-in number and meeting code:

- Webinar link: https://zoom.us/j/97917562557
- Telephone number: 1 (669) 900-9128
  - Meeting ID: 979 1756 2557

PLEASE NOTE: The Sonoma Clean Power Business Office is closed and this meeting will be conducted entirely by teleconference.

How to Submit Public Comment During the Teleconference Meeting:

The Chair will request public comment during the Public Comment period for all items on the agenda. Comments may be submitted in writing (preferred) to meetings@sonomacleanpower.org or during the meeting via the webinar “raise your hand” feature. For detailed public comment instructions, please visit this page.

For written comments, state the agenda item number that you are commenting on and limit to 300 words. Written comments received prior to the meeting and/or the agenda item you wish to comment on will be read into the record up to 300 words.
Staff recommendations are guidelines to the Committee. On any item, the Committee may take action which varies from that recommended by staff.

I. CALL TO ORDER

II. PUBLIC COMMENT ON MATTERS NOT LISTED ON THE AGENDA

(Comments are restricted to matters within the Committee’s jurisdiction. Please be brief and limit spoken comments to three minutes, or 300 words if written.)

III. COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE CONSENT CALENDAR

1. Approve November 16, 2020 Draft Community Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes (Staff Recommendation: Approve) - pg. 5
2. Receive Audited Financial Statement for FY 2019/2020 (Staff Recommendation: Receive and File) - pg. 9
3. Recommend that the Board of Directors Authorize the Chief Executive Officer execute an agreement with, and subsequent amendments, with Enel X North America with a Total Not-to Exceed Amount of $530,000 through June 30, 2021 (Staff Recommendation: Approve) - pg. 11

IV. COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE REGULAR CALENDAR

5. Receive Legislative and Regulatory Updates and Provide Feedback as Appropriate (Staff Recommendation: Receive and File) - pg. 33
6. Approve Community Advisory Committee Meeting Dates for 2021 Calendar Year (Staff Recommendation: Approve) - pg. 35
7. Discuss EverGreen Local Resource Public Workshop #1 (Staff Recommendation: Receive and File) - pg. 39
8. Recommendation that the Board Provide Stability to Customer Bills by Approving a Conditional Rate Adjustment to Reduce Generation Rates 30 days after PG&E implements fee and rate changes (Staff Recommendation: Approve) - pg. 55
9. Recommend that the Board of Directors Adopt a Resolution Joining CC Power, a JPA of California CCAs, for the Purpose of Joint Procurement of Energy Resources and Products (Staff Recommendation: Approve) - pg. 63
10. Receive Report on the Potential Use of Microgrids to Reduce Distribution Costs and Fire Risks in Certain Remote Areas and Consider Recommending a Limited Number of Pilot Projects (Staff Recommendation: Approve) - pg. 87
Staff recommendations are guidelines to the Committee. On any item, the Committee may take action which varies from that recommended by staff.

V. COMMITTEE MEMBER ANNOUNCEMENTS

VI. ADJOURN

DISABLED ACCOMMODATION: If you have a disability which requires an accommodation or an alternative format, please contact the Clerk of the Board at (707) 890-8491, or by email at meetings@sonomacleanpower.org as soon as possible to ensure arrangements for accommodation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AER</td>
<td>Advanced Energy Rebuild (A program that helps homeowners affected by the October 2017 firestorms rebuild energy efficient, sustainable homes).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAC</td>
<td>Community Advisory Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAISO</td>
<td>California Independent Systems Operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAM</td>
<td>Cost Allocation Mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCA</td>
<td>Community Choice Aggregation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEC</td>
<td>California Energy Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CleanStart</td>
<td>SCP’s default service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPUC</td>
<td>California Public Utility Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DER</td>
<td>Distributed Energy Resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERRA</td>
<td>Energy Resource Recovery Account</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EverGreen</td>
<td>SCP’s 100% renewable, 100% local energy service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal</td>
<td>A locally-available, low-carbon baseload renewable resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG</td>
<td>Greenhouse gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRC</td>
<td>General Rate Case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOU</td>
<td>Investor Owned Utility (e.g., PG&amp;E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRP</td>
<td>Integrated Resource Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPA</td>
<td>Joint Powers Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSE</td>
<td>Load Serving Entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>Megawatt (Power = how fast energy is being used at one moment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWh</td>
<td>Megawatt-hour (Energy = how much energy is used over time)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEM</td>
<td>Net Energy Metering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NetGreen</td>
<td>SCP’s net energy metering program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCIA</td>
<td>Power Charge Indifference Adjustment (This fee is intended to ensure that customers who switch to SCP pay for certain costs related to energy commitments made by PG&amp;E prior to their switch.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProFIT</td>
<td>SCP’s “Feed in Tariff” program for larger local renewable energy producers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSPS</td>
<td>Public Safety Power Shutoff - a term used when it may be necessary for PG&amp;E to turn off electricity for public safety when gusty winds and dry conditions, combined with a heightened fire risk, are forecasted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>Photovoltaics for making electric energy from sunlight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>Resource Adequacy - a required form of capacity for compliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REC</td>
<td>Renewable Energy Credit - process used to track renewable energy for compliance in California.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCP</td>
<td>Sonoma Clean Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOU</td>
<td>Time of Use, used to refer to rates that differ by time of day and by season</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Dowd called the meeting to order at approximately 1:00 p.m.

Committee Members present: Chair Dowd, Vice Chair Baldwin, and Members Brady, Chaban, Fenichel, Mattinson, Morris, Nicholls, Sizemore, and Quinlan

Staff present: Geof Syphers, Chief Executive Officer; Mike Koszalka, Chief Operating Officer; and Stephanie Reynolds, Director of Internal Operations

II. PUBLIC COMMENT ON MATTERS NOT LISTED ON THE AGENDA

Public comment: None

III. COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE CONSENT CALENDAR

1. Approve October 22, 2020 Draft Community Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes

2. Recommend that the Board of Directors Approve an Extension of Customer Service Policy A.6a – 2020 COVID-19 Emergency Consumer Protection Policy

3. Recommend that the Board of Directors Authorize the Chief Executive Officer Execute an Agreement with Ibex Enterprises dba RDI, Resource Design Interiors to provide furniture for the SCP Headquarters Building in the amount of $268,209.82

4. Receive Overview of Self-Generation Incentive Program (SGIP) Assistance
5. Recommend Board Approval of a Resolution Attesting to the Accuracy of SCP’s 2019 Power Source Disclosure Annual Report for CleanStart and EverGreen Products

Public comment: None

Motion to Approve the November 16, 2020, Community Advisory Committee Consent Calendar by Member Nicholls

Second: Vice Chair Baldwin

Motion passed: 10-0-0 (Member Quinlan abstained from approval of Item #1 on the Consent Calendar)

IV. COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE REGULAR CALENDAR


Director of Internal Operations Stephanie Reynolds introduced the item by detailing an upcoming EverGreen Local Resource Plan Workshop, which will be used to gather public input on preferred energy resources for future expansion of the EverGreen program. Programs Manager Chad Asay provided a video update on construction progress and design features at the Advanced Energy Center. Director Reynolds then detailed the Monthly Financial Statements and highlighted variances in revenues and expenses from the approved budget because of increased power costs due to the outages in late August. Director Reynolds then requested that the Committee consider adopting a regular meeting schedule for 2021; following discussion among the Committee staff was directed to establish a regular meeting schedule and consider reappointing a youth seat to the Committee. CEO Syphers advised the Committee that SCP’s Marketing Coordinator, Willy Linares, was recently elected to the Rohnert Park City Council and that Mr. Linares will not pursue a seat on the SCP Board of Directors to eliminate any conflicts of interest that could arise given his role as a staffer. CEO Syphers then stated that staff completed a series of workshops on diversity, equity, and inclusion, and he looks forward to further work in this area on an individual and organizational basis.

Public comment: Woody Hastings supported a regular meeting schedule for the Community Advisory Committee and suggested that staff consider evening meetings to promote greater community participation.

7. Receive Legislative and Regulatory Updates and Provide Feedback as Appropriate
Director of Regulatory Affairs Neal Reardon updated the Committee on the Power Charge Indifference Adjustment ("PCIA") and that the 2021 PCIA charge to SCP customer will be lower than previous assessments. He then detailed a separate proposed settlement for 2021 PCIA charges, which if adopted, would reduce the PCIA to SCP customers by approximately $9.8 million. Finally, Director Reardon updated the Committee on a CPUC’s Safety Enforcement Division report on the 2019 PSPS events, which found, in part, that “PG&E did not provide an in-depth discussion of how PG&E determined that the benefit of de-energization outweighed potential public safety risks,” and that SCP filed opening comments in support of the report.

CEO Syphers described outreach from the new president and CEO of CAISO, Elliot Mainzer, to CalCCA, and the encouraging nature of Mr. Mainzer’s interest in CCAs. CEO Syphers then detailed several upcoming appointments on the state level at the CPUC, CAISO, and the Senate Energy Utilities Committee.

Public comment: Woody Hastings spoke on the CPUC’s Safety Enforcement Division’s 2019 PSPS events report and asked when the CPUC will consider this item further.

*Member Chaban left the meeting at approximately 2:15 p.m.*


CEO Syphers detailed the proposal to form a JPA of CCAs that would procure resources on behalf of its members. He likened this effort to what municipal service providers can achieve through their membership in the Northern California Power Agency, which facilitates joint procurement of resources for its members. CEO Syphers then described the purpose, scope, operation, costs, benefits, and risks of the proposed JPA, which has a working title of “CC Power.” He noted that the Board of the new JPA would consist of the General Managers or CEOs of the participating CCAs.

Chair Dowd noted his support for this item as it gives access to resources that may otherwise be unavailable and does not put SCP at undue financial risk given the JPA structure.

Vice Chair Baldwin asked if in the process of negotiating an energy supply contract, the potential to use the creditworthiness of CC Power’s member CCAs could potentially weaken the legal argument that the debts of one member are not the debts of another member; CEO Syphers advised that it
would be against his best judgement to allow debt transfer between members and he will raise this question with Special Counsel.

Member Fenichel asked if the JPA would be used for procurement alone or for other projects such as storage; CEO Syphers stated that the JPA structure allows for any kind of joint action that buys energy, energy-related products, or the financing of customer programs if deemed advantageous. CEO Syphers noted that SCP would retain its own procurement authority and the right to withdraw from the JPA.

Member Brady asked if these services could be provided by the Northern California Power Agency ("NCPA") instead of forming a new JPA; CEO Syphers stated that NCPA is geared towards municipal services providers and inclusion of CCAs would require significant structural changes, and based off research, the establishment of the JPA is an easier process but he would continue to evaluate this option.

Member Quinlan asked what could go wrong if a member of CC Power financially defaults on payments in a joint contract that SCP is also party to; CEO Syphers thanked Member Quinlan and advised he would pursue this further with legal counsel. CEO Syphers then outlined the proposed timeline for bringing this to the Committee and Board for approval.

Public comment: None

V. COMMITTEE MEMBER ANNOUNCEMENTS

Member Quinlan asked if the roll call list used for calling on Committee Members for comments and questions can be rotated on occasion, as he, and other Committee members, are always last to be called on during consideration of a given item; Chair Dowd advised he would consider this item further in consultation with staff.

VI. ADJOURN

Chair Dowd adjourned the meeting at approximately 2:52 p.m.
To: Sonoma Clean Power Authority Community Advisory Committee

From: Michael Koszalka, Chief Operations Officer
Stephanie Reynolds, Director of Internal Operations

Issue: Receive Audited Financial Statement for FY 2019/2020

Date: December 16, 2020

Requested Committee Action:

Receive the independent draft report for the Financial Statements from Fiscal Years ending June 30, 2019 and June 30, 2020 (information only, no vote required).

Background:

At the December 3, 2020 meeting of the SCP Board of Directors, Brett Bradford and Andrea Lifto from Pisenti & Brinker presented the attached independent report of SCPA’s financial statements for the Fiscal Years ending June 30, 2019 and June 30, 2020. The report was completed with financial statements prepared by Maher Accountancy. The Board voted to accept the statements as presented.

Attachments:


  This attachment can be accessed through this link or by request from the Clerk of the Board.
To: Sonoma Clean Power Authority Community Advisory Committee
From: Rachel Kuykendall, Senior Programs Manager
Item: Recommend that the Board of Directors Authorize the Chief Executive Officer Execute an Agreement With, and Subsequent Amendments, with Enel X North America with a Total Not-to Exceed Amount of $530,000 through December 31, 2021
Date: December 16, 2020

REQUESTED ACTIONS

Staff requests that the Committee recommend to the Board of Directors (“Board”) that they delegate authority to the Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”), or his designee, to negotiate and execute a contract, and subsequent amendments, with Enel X North America, Inc. (“Enel X”) to continue providing SCP customers with smart residential EV charging equipment through the GridSavvy Community, consistent with the parameters in this staff report, including an aggregate not-to-exceed amount of $530,000 over the term, subject to the conditions set below.

BACKGROUND

Beginning in 2016, Staff began work with with Enel X, formerly Electric Motor Werks (EMW), to provide free electric vehicle charging stations to Sonoma Clean Power customers through the GridSavvy Community. To date, 3060 electric vehicle charging stations have been provided to SCP customers through this partnership.

To facilitate this offering, staff negotiated and executed two contracts with Enel X. The first contract is to provide physical hardware—residential electric vehicle chargers—to Sonoma Clean Power customers. This hardware contract has an annual term and a not-to-exceed budget of $350,000. It was reviewed by the Committee on May 19, 2020 and later adopted by the SCP Board on June 4, 2020.
The second contract with Enel X is for the provision of software services that enable the electric vehicle charging stations to receive a remote signal and be controlled for grid needs. This software contract had a term from July 18, 2017 through December 31st, 2020 and a not-to-exceed budget of $400,000.

This proposed amended and restated contract merges the previously approved hardware contract with the terms and budget of the software contract. Staff requests that the Committee recommend to the Board that they delegate authority to the CEO to negotiate and execute the amended and restated contract, and any subsequent amendments, with Enel X substantially in the form attached to this staff report.

**FISCAL IMPACT**

Staff is requesting authorization for a not-to-exceed amount of $530,000 for the calendar year 2021 program. Staff has already budgeted this amount into the Fiscal Year 20/21 Programs Budget.

**ATTACHMENTS**

- Enel X North America, Inc Amended and Restated Agreement for Professional Services

  Attachments for this item are available through this link or by request from the Clerk of the Board.
To: Sonoma Clean Power Community Advisory Committee

From: Stephanie Reynolds, Director of Internal Operations
      Mike Koszalka, Chief Operating Officer

Issue: Receive Internal Operations and Monthly Financial Report and Provide Feedback as Appropriate

Date: December 16, 2020

COVID-19 IMPACTS TO SCP

The newly issued Sonoma County stay-home order has been reviewed by SCP management. The office remains closed. Staff continues to closely monitor the impact of COVID-19 on energy usage and revenues for SCP. There were no unexpected impacts to SCP operations during the month of November. We continue to offer customers energy savings suggestions through our website and social media.

COMMUNITY OUTREACH

During the 4th quarter, SCP is hosting food drives and making donations to benefit our communities during the holiday season. The quarter-long campaign includes print, digital, outdoor and social media promotion of food/cash donations to local area food banks.

MENDOCINO COUNTY

SCP has made donations to the following food banks:

- Willits Community Service & Food Bank
- Mendocino Food & Nutrition Program/Fort Bragg Food Bank
- North Coast Opportunities Caring Kitchen
SONOMA COUNTY

A group of SCP staff volunteered at the SCP sponsored Drive Up Donation Food Event at Redwood Empire Food Bank, collecting nearly 800 lbs. of food, and appearing in an [ABC 7 News segment](https://www.abc7.com) about food banks this holiday season.

Those interested in helping to brighten our communities’ holiday season can donate food or cash. More information can be found on SCP’s website: [https://sonomacleanpower.org/holidays](https://sonomacleanpower.org/holidays).

**NOV. 13TH 11 AM - 3 PM**

**REDWOOD EMPIRE FOOD BANK**

*Give the gift of food.*

**THANKSGIVING FOOD DRIVE**

[sonomacleanpower.org](https://sonomacleanpower.org)
SCP HEADQUARTERS PROJECT UPDATE

Work on the renovation of the Headquarters Building at 431 E Street in Santa Rosa is about 50% complete. All major structural and underground work has been completed. Work on the electrical and mechanical systems is nearing completion and sheetrock is being installed and finished. The roof has been replaced and skylights have been installed. Work being accomplished at this point includes the installation of windows and the pouring of concreted footings for the battery and car chargers. Several unexpected issues have arisen that will require extra work. The location of the fire sprinkler connection for the fire department had to be relocated. Also, the remaining siding that we hoped to re-use was found to be unusable and will be replaced. The following are some recently taken pictures of the second-floor interior and work in the parking lot.

PROGRMMS UPDATES:

Advanced Energy Build (AEB)

The Advanced Energy Build (AEB) new construction program was launched in July 2020. The program includes incentives for electric-ready and all-electric homes, along with additional funds for battery storage integration. Staff recently increased
the incentive amount for low-income housing to $5,000 per home and the program recently received its first application for a 70-home project in Fort Bragg.

**LEAD Locally** - Innovative technologies have been installed in all Phase 1 homes. The research team is finalizing the remaining 3 commercial sites for phase change materials now focusing on the deployment of measures through the Advanced Energy Center. Through our Advanced Energy Center in downtown Santa Rosa, SCP will be incentivizing millions of dollars in incentives to our customers.

**Advanced Energy Center Update**

**Construction**

With furniture, phones, and internet onsite and active, the construction services team is carefully completing the remaining interior finishes as we wait for the replacement of the main electrical panel to be certified by the City of Santa Rosa. Remaining construction items are the ADA backdoor ramp, back hallway flooring, commissioning of the lighting, AV, & security systems, interior, and exterior signage, and installing the remaining kitchen appliances and office equipment. Over ninety punch list items have already been collected to assure the construction services team does not miss a nick in a wall.
Store Operations

The AEC Manager and Assistant Manager are quickly becoming experts of our programs, power portfolio, vendor technologies and On Bill Finance. Their educational focus is to bring the staff of four interns up to speed on the Center operational systems, technologies, customer service, safety and COVID standards, and preparations for a public presence.

Under the direction of our Brand Manager, we are finalizing operational purchases to open such as: trash, kitchenware, cleaning services, computers, and office equipment. We are preparing to nimbly adjust the Centers design and move bays. To leave an impact on guests’, SCPs Marketing is preparing take home items such as our branded SCP face mask.

Demonstration Room and Vendor Displays

The design team has installed four TV walls and a display panel system along both walls that tie in to those four systems that will enlighten the space. Wall decals, overhead decorations, and both window displays will have to wait until construction is completed.

Vendor merchandising and bay locations are strategically placed in categories along the demonstration room for all electric living, home storage, electric kitchens, and E-transportation as shown below in this floor plan. We hope to attract foot traffic and provide a tour through multiple areas of the home and business areas. All platforms and pedestals are being constructed to both lock in space for safety, but nimbly move if design changes require an update.
Vendors are currently constructing their display bays under SCP Marketing’s guidance. Those bays are expected to be installed in groupings of five. With over twenty-five distribution partners, fourteen different distributors, twelve different technologies, and over 200 total product types including every eligible mini-split option (130 total) and induction cooktop (over 80 total). The Center has already installed displays of heat pump water heaters, residential induction, and heat recovery dish machines. This next wave of bays will include ventilation systems that link to your water heater, battery storage, electric lifestyle, and a demand response CO2 water heater. A list of technologies either under contract to participate at the Center or under negotiation is shown here.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology Type</th>
<th>Brand</th>
<th>Distributor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Areosol Sealing (residential)</td>
<td>Aeroaseal</td>
<td>Aeroaseal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery Storage</td>
<td>Electriq Power</td>
<td>Electriq Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery Storage</td>
<td>Sonnen</td>
<td>Sonnen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery Storage (residential)</td>
<td>Ossiaco</td>
<td>Ossiaco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat Pump Water Heater (residential)</td>
<td>AO Smith</td>
<td>AO Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat Pump Water Heater (residential)</td>
<td>Rheem</td>
<td>Rheem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat Recovery Dishwasher (commercial)</td>
<td>Meiko</td>
<td>Meiko/GOP Solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat Recovery Ventilation, Heat Pump Water Heater (residential)</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Small Planet Supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydronic Fan Coil (residential)</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Flow Tech</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hydronic Fan Coil (residential) | Chilltrix | Sensible Technologies
--- | --- | ---
Induction (commercial) | Vollrath | Vollrath
Induction Stove (residential) | Various | Teevax
Induction Stove (commercial) | Meiko | Myers Restaurant Supply
Mini-split Heat Pump (residential) | Mitsubishi | Mitsubishi
Mini-split Heat Pump (commercial) | LG | DMG North
Nighttime Ventilation (residential) | Airscape | Airscape
Phase Change Insulation | InsolCorp | InsolCorp
Smart Thermostat (residential) | Ecobee | Ecobee
EV Charging | Clipper Creek | Clipper Creek
EV Charging | JuiceBox | JuiceBox

### Education/Training

A priority for the Advanced Energy Center is education and training. SCP is currently focusing on contractor certification courses where contractors can be certified for multiple technologies such as grid integrated heat pump water heaters, phase change materials, induction, and nighttime ventilation systems. SCP is also hosting a certification course for professionals regarding the On-Bill Finance Loan Program. This will remain a priority until a viable list of multiple certified contractors are available for each technology type and On-Bill Financing. This list is crucial to the launch of the Advanced Energy Center Website.

In November, we held 2 certification courses and had a total attendance of 6. In December, we held 5 certification courses and had a total attendance of 12.

In January, we will be holding 5 Contractor Certification Courses:

**Advanced Energy Center Contractor Orientation**

- Monday, January 11, 2021, 12-12:30pm ([link to register])

**Advanced Energy Center Contractor Course: Grid-Interactive Heat Pump Water Heaters**

- Tuesday, January 12, 2021, 7:30-8:30am ([link to register])
- Wednesday, January 13, 2021, 12-1pm ([link to register])

**Advanced Energy Center Contractor Course: On Bill Financing**

- Thursday, January 14, 2021, 7:30-8:30am ([link to register])
- Friday, January 15, 2021, 12-1pm ([link to register])
So far for our challenging year of 2020, this new education program has held 21 courses, with over 580 registrations and 325 attendees. Classes included:

- Solar Plus Battery Storage
- Electric Vehicles 101 (2 events)
- Home Remodeling for Resiliency and Fuel Switching (2 events)
- Homeowners Building or Remodeling: Working with Your Team to Achieve Your Resiliency and Energy Goals (2 events)
- Wildfire and Resiliency Improvements for Existing Homes
- Battery Storage Basics: Building Resiliency
- Battery Storage: Technology, Performance and Trends
- Advanced Energy Center Training - Contractor Orientation (3 events)
- Advanced Energy Center Contractor Course: On-Bill Financing (4 events)
- Advanced Energy Center Contractor Course: Grid Interactive Heat Pump Water Heater (4 events)

Staff is planning to hold a variety of classes in the first quarter of 2021, including more contractor training, the history of electrification, electric vehicles, electric bikes, battery storage, energy-efficiency, health and climate change and induction cooking.

Following any webinar feel free to provide any feedback. The education team meets regularly to review each virtual presentation to find improvements for materials, visual aids and other platforms that can lead to a special virtual web-ed experience.

More information on the trainings, including registration, may be found on the SCP website calendar [HERE](#).

**Website**

The Advanced Energy Center website offers the opportunity for customers to see incentivized products, sign up for financing, explore events and educational offerings, and get connected with local installing contractors. Staff is now working with a marketing firm to polish the web experience and create an online presence comparable to the in-store experience.

**Sonoma Coast Incentive Project - CALeVIP**

The Sonoma Coast Incentive Project, which provides incentives for the installation of electric vehicle charging infrastructure, started accepting applications July 8, 2020.
As of October 2020, 424 applications were received. The total funding requests exceed available project funds. There are over $28.1M in applications received, more than the $6.75M total project budget. These applications are being processed by the Center for Sustainable Energy (CSE), the Statewide project implementer, with $3.9M of Funds Reserved across 26 projects. The table below shows applications that have moved to a “Funds Reserved” stage. Combo applications are where a Direct Current Fast Charger (DCFC) and a Level 2 chargers (L2) are installed on the same site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Territory</th>
<th>COMBO</th>
<th>DCFC</th>
<th>L2</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mendocino County</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elk</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Bragg</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laytonville</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point Arena</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukiah</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sonoma County</strong></td>
<td><strong>7</strong></td>
<td><strong>6</strong></td>
<td><strong>7</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geyserville</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healdsburg</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenwood</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monte Rio</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petaluma</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rohnert Park</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sebastopol</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windsor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>8</strong></td>
<td><strong>6</strong></td>
<td><strong>12</strong></td>
<td><strong>26</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Level 2 projects have nine (9) months to complete the project, while Combo and DCFC projects have fifteen (15) months to complete the project.

To date, 185 applications have been cancelled, 152 from just three applicants. These applications were cancelled because they did not meet the appropriate deadlines. These cancelled applications could have been a mass application to reserve funding.

The total Sonoma Coast Incentive Project budget is $6.75M broken down into the following categories:
### DCFC Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>CEC</th>
<th>SCP</th>
<th>CEC</th>
<th>SCP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mendocino</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonoma</td>
<td>$3,300,000</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>$1,050,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total       | $3,300,000 | $300,000 | $1,800,000 | $1,200,000 | $150,000 |

| Total       | $3,600,000 | $3,150,000 |

### L2 Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>CEC</th>
<th>SCP</th>
<th>NSCAPCD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mendocino</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonoma</td>
<td>$3,300,000</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total       | $3,300,000 | $300,000 | $1,800,000 |

| Total       | $3,600,000 | $3,150,000 |

### Allocation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Allocation</th>
<th>Amount Allocated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sonoma</td>
<td>25% to unincorporated areas</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mendocino</td>
<td>25% to Disadvantaged and Low-Income Communities</td>
<td>$187,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total       |                                                   | $1,687,500       |

**Reminder:** applications with an installation address in the cities/towns of Laytonville, Leggett, Ukiah, and Willits are not eligible for DCFC funds as the CEC has previously invested in DCFC infrastructure in these locations.

The rebates (incentives) are up to $80,000 for Direct Current Fast Chargers (DCFC) and up to $7,500 for Level 2 chargers for the design, engineering, purchase, and installation of the charging infrastructure.

Up to date reservation amounts can be found on [https://calevip.org/incentive-project/sonoma-coast](https://calevip.org/incentive-project/sonoma-coast).

### MONTHLY COMPILED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The year-to-date growth in net position is slightly under projections due primarily to greater than expected expenses. Revenue from electricity sales is greater than projections by approximately 14%, and cost of energy is over expectations by approximately 24%. Management anticipates the percentage overage in cost of energy to decrease as the fiscal year continues. Year-to-date electricity sales reached $79,080,000.

SCP maintains a balanced portfolio by procuring electricity from multiple sources. Overall, other operating expenses continued near or slightly below planned levels for the year.
The accompanying budgetary comparison includes the 2020/21 budget amendment approved by the Board of Directors in June 2020.

The budget is formatted to make comparisons for both the annual and the year-to-date perspective. The first column, 2020/21 YTD Budget, allocates the Board approved annual budget at expected levels throughout the year with consideration for the timing of additional customers, usage volumes, staffing needs etc. This column represents our best estimates and this granular approach was not part of the Board approved budget.

Revenue from electricity sales to customers is greater than the year-to-date budget by approximately 14%.

The cost of electricity is greater than the budget-to-date mostly due to market price volatility. SCP anticipates this cost category to normalize throughout the year. Variation in this account is typically due to fluctuating market cost of energy on open position purchases.

Major operating categories of Data Management fees and PG&E Service fees are based on the customer account totals and are closely aligned to budget.

In addition to the items mentioned above, SCP continues its trend of remaining near or under budget for most of its operating expenses.

UPCOMING MEETINGS:
Board of Directors - January 7, 2021

ATTACHMENTS
Advanced Energy Center Website - Vendor Selection Example page
October Financial Reports
Contractor selection process on the website. Image subject to change.
ACCOUNTANTS’ COMPILATION REPORT

Board of Directors
Sonoma Clean Power Authority

Management is responsible for the accompanying special purpose statement of Sonoma Clean Power Authority (a California Joint Powers Authority) which comprise the budgetary comparison schedule for the period ended October 31, 2020, and for determining that the budgetary basis of accounting is an acceptable financial reporting framework. We have performed a compilation engagement in accordance with Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services promulgated by the Accounting and Review Services Committee of the AICPA. We did not audit or review the accompanying statement nor were we required to perform any procedures to verify the accuracy or completeness of the information provided by management. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion, a conclusion, nor provide any assurance on this special purpose budgetary comparison statement.

The special purpose statement is prepared in accordance with the budgetary basis of accounting, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. This report is intended for the information of the Board of Directors of Sonoma Clean Power Authority.

Management has elected to omit substantially all of the note disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America in these interim financial statements. Sonoma Clean Power Authority’s annual audited financial statements include the note disclosures omitted from these interim statements. If the omitted disclosures were included in these financial statements, they might influence the user’s conclusions about the Authority’s financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. Accordingly, these financial statements are not designed for those who are not informed about such matters.

We are not independent with respect to the Authority because we performed certain accounting services that impaired our independence.

Maher Accountancy
San Rafael, CA
December 4, 2020
## SONOMA CLEAN POWER AUTHORITY
### OPERATING FUND
#### BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE
July 1, 2020 through October 31, 2020

### REVENUE AND OTHER SOURCES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>2020/21 YTD Budget</th>
<th>2020/21 YTD Actual</th>
<th>2020/21 YTD Budget Variance</th>
<th>2020/21 YTD Actual / Budget %</th>
<th>2020/21 Budget Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electricity (net of allowance) *</td>
<td>$69,226,952</td>
<td>$78,656,635</td>
<td>$9,429,683</td>
<td>114%</td>
<td>$161,517,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evergreen Premium (net of allowance)</td>
<td>167,145</td>
<td>423,215</td>
<td>256,070</td>
<td>253%</td>
<td>582,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflow from Operating Account Fund Reserves</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>15,433,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEC Grant</td>
<td>1,276,667</td>
<td>751,137</td>
<td>(525,530)</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>3,830,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAAQMD grant</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>34,000</td>
<td>(16,000)</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest income</td>
<td>257,000</td>
<td>330,130</td>
<td>73,130</td>
<td>128%</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Income</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7,009</td>
<td>7,009</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total revenue and other sources</strong></td>
<td>70,977,764</td>
<td>80,202,126</td>
<td>9,224,362</td>
<td>113%</td>
<td>182,243,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EXPENDITURES AND OTHER USES:

#### CURRENT EXPENDITURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2020/21 YTD Budget</th>
<th>2020/21 YTD Actual</th>
<th>2020/21 YTD Budget Variance</th>
<th>2020/21 YTD Actual / Budget %</th>
<th>2020/21 Budget Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost of energy and scheduling</td>
<td>$52,119,522</td>
<td>$64,769,872</td>
<td>$12,650,350</td>
<td>124%</td>
<td>$149,468,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data management</td>
<td>1,060,625</td>
<td>1,065,951</td>
<td>5,326</td>
<td>101%</td>
<td>$3,182,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service fees- PG&amp;E</td>
<td>322,654</td>
<td>321,888</td>
<td>(766)</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$968,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>1,760,000</td>
<td>1,535,667</td>
<td>(224,333)</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>$5,680,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outreach and communications</td>
<td>376,668</td>
<td>161,908</td>
<td>(214,760)</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>$1,130,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer service</td>
<td>282,405</td>
<td>64,097</td>
<td>(218,308)</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>$383,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and administration</td>
<td>193,332</td>
<td>163,985</td>
<td>(29,347)</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>$380,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>113,131</td>
<td>(6,869)</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>$360,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory and compliance</td>
<td>132,333</td>
<td>52,202</td>
<td>(80,131)</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>$397,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting</td>
<td>72,332</td>
<td>68,570</td>
<td>(3,762)</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>$217,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative</td>
<td>9,333</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(9,333)</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$28,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other consultants</td>
<td>53,332</td>
<td>69,738</td>
<td>16,406</td>
<td>131%</td>
<td>$160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CalCCA Trade Association</td>
<td>126,668</td>
<td>116,320</td>
<td>(10,348)</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>$380,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program implementation</td>
<td>1,716,667</td>
<td>611,869</td>
<td>(1,104,798)</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>$5,150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program - CEC grant</td>
<td>3,240,000</td>
<td>2,094,633</td>
<td>(1,145,367)</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>$5,660,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current expenditures</strong></td>
<td>61,585,871</td>
<td>71,209,831</td>
<td>9,623,960</td>
<td>116%</td>
<td>173,743,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### OTHER USES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2020/21 YTD Budget</th>
<th>2020/21 YTD Actual</th>
<th>2020/21 YTD Budget Variance</th>
<th>2020/21 YTD Actual / Budget %</th>
<th>2020/21 Budget Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital outlay</td>
<td>2,833,333</td>
<td>3,543,202</td>
<td>709,869</td>
<td>125%</td>
<td>$8,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenditures, Other Uses and Debt Service</strong></td>
<td>64,419,204</td>
<td>74,753,033</td>
<td>10,333,829</td>
<td>116%</td>
<td>182,243,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net increase (decrease) in available fund balance</td>
<td>$6,558,560</td>
<td>$5,449,093</td>
<td>$ (1,109,467)</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>$ (5,449,093)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Represents sales of approximately 804,000 MWh for 2020/21 YTD actual.

### RESERVES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reserve</th>
<th>Current Balance</th>
<th>% of Long-Term Target</th>
<th>Long-Term Target Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating Cash Reserve</td>
<td>$59,158,000</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>$91,121,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Cash Reserve</td>
<td>10,831,000</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>18,224,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collateral Cash Reserve</td>
<td>2,215,000</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>14,946,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$72,204,000</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>$124,292,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accountants’ compilation report.
Net increase (decrease) in available fund balance per budgetary comparison schedule: $ 5,449,093

Adjustments needed to reconcile to the changes in net position in the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adjustment</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subtract depreciation expense</td>
<td>(25,384)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add back capital asset acquisitions</td>
<td>4,883,398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in net position</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 10,307,107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ACCOUNTANTS’ COMPILATION REPORT

Management
Sonoma Clean Power Authority

Management is responsible for the accompanying financial statements of Sonoma Clean Power Authority (a California Joint Powers Authority) which comprise the statement of net position as of October 31, 2020, and the related statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position, and the statement of cash flows for the period then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have performed a compilation engagement in accordance with Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services promulgated by the Accounting and Review Services Committee of the AICPA. We did not audit or review the accompanying statements nor were we required to perform any procedures to verify the accuracy or completeness of the information provided by management. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion, conclusion, nor provide any assurance on these financial statements.

Management has elected to omit substantially all of the note disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America in these interim financial statements. Sonoma Clean Power Authority’s annual audited financial statements include the note disclosures omitted from these interim statements. If the omitted disclosures were included in these financial statements, they might influence the user’s conclusions about the Authority’s financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. Accordingly, these financial statements are not designed for those who are not informed about such matters.

We are not independent with respect to the Authority because we performed certain accounting services that impaired our independence.

Maher Accountancy
San Rafael, CA
December 4, 2020
# SONOMA CLEAN POWER AUTHORITY

## STATEMENT OF NET POSITION

As of October 31, 2020

### ASSETS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>$67,116,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable, net of allowance</td>
<td>24,303,059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other receivables</td>
<td>4,130,774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued revenue</td>
<td>10,243,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid expenses</td>
<td>1,037,471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits</td>
<td>407,079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>107,238,415</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unrestricted cash in Rate Stabilization Fund</td>
<td>22,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land and construction-in-progress</td>
<td>12,857,551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital assets, net of depreciation</td>
<td>209,672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits</td>
<td>5,430,922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total noncurrent assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>40,498,145</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>147,736,560</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### LIABILITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accrued cost of electricity</td>
<td>15,517,295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>3,220,419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced from grantors</td>
<td>154,875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other accrued liabilities</td>
<td>1,016,636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User taxes and energy surcharges due to other governments</td>
<td>535,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current liabilities</strong></td>
<td><strong>20,445,059</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rate Stabilization Fund</td>
<td>22,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NET POSITION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment in capital assets</td>
<td>13,067,223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrestricted</td>
<td>92,224,278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total net position</strong></td>
<td><strong>$105,291,501</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accountants' compilation report.
## OPERATING REVENUES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electricity sales, net</td>
<td>$78,656,635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evergreen electricity premium</td>
<td>423,215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant revenue</td>
<td>785,137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total operating revenues</strong></td>
<td><strong>79,864,987</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## OPERATING EXPENSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost of electricity</td>
<td>64,769,872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract services</td>
<td>3,073,259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff compensation</td>
<td>1,535,667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and administration</td>
<td>387,411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program rebates and incentives</td>
<td>103,426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>25,384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total operating expenses</strong></td>
<td><strong>69,895,019</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating income</strong></td>
<td><strong>9,969,968</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest income</td>
<td>330,130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other nonoperating revenue</td>
<td>7,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nonoperating revenues (expenses), net</strong></td>
<td><strong>337,139</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## CHANGE IN NET POSITION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net position at beginning of period</td>
<td>94,984,394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net position at end of period</strong></td>
<td><strong>$105,291,501</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accountants' compilation report.
SONOMA CLEAN POWER AUTHORITY

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
July 1, 2020 through October 31, 2020

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES
Receipts from customers $ 77,380,248
Other operating receipts 428,320
Payments to electricity suppliers (64,272,884)
Payments for other goods and services (3,576,560)
Payments for staff compensation (1,553,874)
Tax and surcharge payments to other governments (1,030,833)
Payments for program rebates and incentives (905,013)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities 6,469,404

CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES
Payments to acquire capital assets (3,265,458)

CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES
Interest income received 530,445
Proceeds from certificates of deposit matured 20,291,718
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities 20,822,163

Net change in cash and cash equivalents 24,026,109
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year 65,090,441
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year $ 89,116,550

Reconciliation to the Statement of Net Position
Cash and cash equivalents (current) $ 67,116,550
Cash and cash equivalents (noncurrent) 22,000,000
Cash and cash equivalents $ 89,116,550
## RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING INCOME TO NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating income</td>
<td>$9,969,968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net cash provided (used) by operating activities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation expense</td>
<td>25,384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue adjusted for allowance for uncollectible accounts</td>
<td>1,001,010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Increase) decrease in:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>(3,650,117)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other receivables</td>
<td>(1,512,667)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued revenue</td>
<td>(48,024)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid expenses</td>
<td>40,776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits</td>
<td>378,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase (decrease) in:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued cost of electricity</td>
<td>(1,419,041)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>138,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advance from grantors</td>
<td>(34,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued liabilities</td>
<td>1,612,582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User taxes due to other governments</td>
<td>(33,307)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided (used) by operating activities</td>
<td>$6,469,404</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Staff Report - Item 05

To: Sonoma Clean Power Authority Community Advisory Committee

From: Neal Reardon, Director of Regulatory Affairs
Geof Syphers, Chief Executive Officer

Issue: Receive Legislative and Regulatory Updates and Provide Feedback as Appropriate

Date: December 16, 2020

Requested Committee Action:

Receive Legislative and Regulatory Updates and Provide Feedback as Appropriate.

Regulatory Update

Power Charge Indifference Adjustment (“PCIA”)

The preliminary 2021 PCIA charge our customers will be charged for PG&E’s above-market costs is expected to be slightly lower than what was assessed in 2020. This is primarily due to increases in energy prices. PG&E’s costs are largely fixed, so increased energy revenues result in their being less out-of-the-money. This development will benefit both CCA and PG&E bundled customers equally.

The settlement proposed by PG&E and a group of CCAs on their 2021 costs remains before the Commission for approval, rejection, or modification. If adopted as proposed, it would result in a net reduction of $136 million in PCIA charges across all Northern California CCAs. These savings are spread by load share, with SCP representing 7.2% of PG&E’s CCA load, our customers would see a reduction of $9.8 million. Note, these savings are incremental to the reduction described above.
PG&E Mailer on Bill Increases

PG&E issued a mailer to customers stating their intent to raise CCA customer rates and decrease bundled customer rates beginning in January. SCP and other CCAs developed a joint-settlement agreement with PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E which would smooth these rate increases over a 3-year period instead of the 1-year initially proposed by PG&E. While the Commission approved the 3-year period in SCE’s case, they denied this treatment for customers in PG&E territory. Staff have scheduled meetings with Commissioners to discuss the importance of adopting this settlement to protect all customers. These meetings are scheduled for the second week of December.

Legislative Update

Since California is between legislative sessions, the written materials for this update are very brief. A verbal update will be provided to the Committee as appropriate.

Political appointments are being made by President-elect Biden and Governor Newsom, and many more will soon follow, including:

- President-elect Biden’s Appointments:
  - Secretary of Health & Human Services - Xavier Becerra, which opens California’s Attorney General for a new appointment by Gov. Newsom
  - Secretary of the Treasury - Janet Yellen
  - Secretary of State - Antony Blinken
  - Secretary of Defense - Lloyd Austin

- Governor Newsom will appoint a new Senator to fill Kamala Harris’ vacant seat for the two years remaining in her term.

- CAISO Board of Governors
  - Angelina Galiteva was elevated from Vice Chair to Chair
  - One CAISO Governor will be appointed by Gov Newsom to fill outgoing Governor Dave Olsen’s seat.

- California Air Resource Board - Liane Randolph, former CPUC Commissioner

- California Public Utilities Commission
  - A Commissioner will be appointed by Gov Newsom to fill Liane Randolph vacant seat.
  - President Marybel Batjer has signaled that she will soon leave the CPUC, leaving both a vacancy on the Commission and also the role of President.
To: Sonoma Clean Power Authority Community Advisory Committee

From: Stephanie Reynolds, Director of Internal Operations

Issue: Approve Community Advisory Committee Meeting Dates for 2021 Calendar Year

Date: December 16, 2020

Recommendation

Approve Community Advisory Committee Meeting Dates for 2021 Calendar Year

Background:

As prescribed in SCP’s JPA, the Community Advisory Committee (“CAC”) is required to meet a minimum of six times per year, and staff has historically scheduled meetings on an as-needed basis. With an increase of items needing Committee review, and to assist with planning and scheduling for all parties involved, staff requested feedback from the Committee on establishing a regular meeting schedule at the November 16, 2020 CAC meeting. Following discussion from the Committee, staff was directed to survey the committee members and establish a draft meeting schedule for 2021.

Discussion:

The proposed schedule, included as an attachment, would fix the CAC meeting schedule to the 3rd Thursday of each month from 1pm-3pm. Although some Committee Members expressed interest in holding the meetings later in the day or during the evening to promote greater public participation, the 1pm-3pm time slot...
was preferred by most committee members and provides the best potential for a quorum of members at meetings. Planning the dates in advance would not prohibit the Chair or Vice Chair from cancelling a meeting should there be a light agenda and/or a lack of a quorum on the pre-determined meeting date.

Staff anticipates meetings will continue to be held via the Zoom webinar teleconference format until further notice as allowed under the Governor’s Executive Orders N-25-20 and N-29-20, which suspend certain requirements of the Brown Act due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

**Attachments:**

- 2021 CAC Meeting Day & Time Doodle Poll Results
- 2021 SCPA Community Advisory Committee Meeting Schedule
### Doodle Poll results for proposed 2021 Community Advisory Committee Days and Times

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3rd Wednesday of each month from 1pm-3pm</th>
<th>3rd Wednesday of each month from 3:30pm-5:30pm</th>
<th>3rd Thursday of each month from 1pm-3pm</th>
<th>3rd Thursday of each month from 3:30pm-5:30pm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(OK)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>(OK)</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Count**: 7:1:3  4:0:7  8:1:2  5:0:6
Sonoma Clean Power Authority
Community Advisory Committee
Schedule of Meetings
January - December 2021
1:00 P.M. - 3:00 P.M

(The SCPA CAC normally meets on the 3rd Thursday of each month)

January 21, 2021
February 18, 2021
March 18, 2021
April 15, 2021
May 20, 2021
June 17, 2021
July 15, 2021
August 19, 2021
September 16, 2021
October 21, 2021
November 18, 2021
December 16, 2021

sonomacleanpower.org
To: Sonoma Clean Power Authority Community Advisory Committee

From: Rebecca Simonson, Power Services Manager
      Mike Koszalka, COO
      Beau Anderson, Administrative Services Officer
      Ryan Tracey, Energy Analyst

Issue: Discuss EverGreen Local Resource Public Workshop #1

Date: December 16, 2020

Recommended Actions

Review the EverGreen Local Resource Public Workshop #1 results and provide comment and feedback on Agency priorities for Evergreen.

Background

With the addition of the City of Santa Rosa accounts as part of our EverGreen program and with growing participation at large, staff has begun the process to seek public input for a new Local Resource Plan since more new local resources are now needed. The Local Resource Plan will lay out the plan for new local clean power development to serve EverGreen customers and will eventually form a subset of the Integrated Resource Plan. Staff plans for this to be an iterative process, updated yearly and completely revisited every other year.

Staff will seek input and direction from the Committee for the Local Resource Plan at three more meetings beyond today’s meeting; the January, March, and April meetings as detailed below.

The Local Resource Plan timeline was brought to the Committee in the October 16, 2020 meeting. As a reminder, the planned timeline is as follows:

- **12/1/2020- 12-2pm Public Workshop #1 COMPLETED** focused on resource and program priorities
• **12/3/2020** - Staff has posted a video recording of Public Workshop #1 on the website EverGreen page https://sonomacleanpower.org/programs/evergreen

• **12/16/2020 CAC meeting** - presenting this Staff Report Item

• **01/07/2021 BOD meeting** - Staff will present summary of Public Workshop #1 and CAC feedback for Board input

• **01/12/2021 - 12-2pm Public Workshop #2** focused on technical demand and supply forecast scenarios

• **01/15/2021** - Staff will post video recording of Public Workshop #2 on website

• **January CAC meeting** - Staff will agendize a summary of Public Workshop #2 and seek CAC input and direction

• **February BOD meeting** - Staff will present summary of Public Workshop #1 and CAC feedback for Board input

• **March CAC meeting** - Staff will present initial Local Resource Plan draft for CAC input and direction

• **April BOD meeting** - Staff will present initial Local Resource Plan draft

• **April CAC meeting** - Staff will present the proposed Final Local Resource Plan for CAC recommendation to the Board

• **May BOD meeting** - Staff will seek approval of Final Local Resource Plan from Board.

**Discussion**

SCP staff held the EverGreen Local Resource Plan Public Workshop #1 on December 1st via virtual webinar. The virtual webinar also included live polls for data driven feedback. A video recording of the workshop is posted on our website https://sonomacleanpower.org/programs/evergreen.

There were 44 non-staff attendees to the Public Workshop with good participation in the live polls and approximately a half hour of public comment. The results from the live poll questions and public comment are shown on following pages.

In addition to the Public Workshop, staff created an online survey form for written public feedback for anyone who was unable to attend the workshop or had further comments from those provided during the workshop. A link to the survey can also be found at the link above for SCP’s EverGreen webpage. The online survey results are shown on the following pages. As of the publication of this report, there have been 64 responses to the online survey.

The notifications for the webinar and survey were emailed to 1,225 recipients, and a separate release to the local media and city and county staff was sent to a further 170 recipients. Staff also shared the event and link to the survey on SCP’s Facebook, Instagram, and LinkedIn accounts.
The common feedback distilled from the surveys and public comment reveals:

**Type of resources:** The public is interested in a diverse mix of new-build resources, primarily solar plus battery storage.

The public comments have revealed that aggregated behind-the-meter (BTM) resources should be prioritized that can contribute to resiliency and a future microgrid, including solar plus storage and vehicle to grid technology. Staff notes that while aggregated BTM resources likely fall within the scope of the EverGreen program, the development of microgrids are a long-lead distribution level solution that require significant partnership with PG&E who is ultimately responsible for the distribution grid. As such, the development of these projects is an effort that SCP is exploring, but at a larger scale and is not within the scope of EverGreen. Staff will explore this in Public Workshop #2 scheduled for Jan 12, 2021 noon-2pm.

There were several comments asking not to support biomass, however staff will explore the benefits of wildfire vegetation management and the current problems with trucking large quantities of biomass outside of our territory creating large transportation emissions in Public Workshop #2.

**Main priorities for EverGreen:** The top priorities the public identified were to build new resources, cut emissions as much as possible, match the hourly output to demand, keep all resources within SCP territory and use local labor. Keeping the EverGreen premium rates low was not at the top of the priorities.

**Strategies to improve EverGreen:** Many comments suggested that SCP make more of an effort to work with local jurisdictions, schools, and businesses to develop new local resources on their sites. It was also strongly suggested that SCP partner with local community groups to help market and educate the public on the EverGreen product.

Several comments expressed the need to address electrification to offset building natural gas use and transportation emissions. Staff will need to explore whether these efforts fit expressly within the EverGreen program or if SCP continue to focus on these efforts at large.

**Ways to include underserved communities:** The public suggested that SCP develop relationships with local communities and provide a tailored marketing approach to reach these communities. The public suggested incentivizing BTM resources at low income properties, subsidizing the EverGreen premium rate for low income customers, and developing distributed resources that could form microgrids in the future for underserved communities.

**Webinar Live Poll and Online Survey results**

The following pages present the data of the live poll and online survey multiple choice questions, the public comment conducted during the workshop, and the
written comments from the online survey. The online survey responses will be collected through Dec 31st, 2020, however the results below are from the 64 responses we’ve received as of the publishing of this report.

**Webinar live polls results**

Are you or the organization you represent a Sonoma Clean Power customer?

**Online survey results**

Are you or the organization you are representing an EverGreen customer?
**Webinar live polls results**

What interest do you represent? (select all that apply)

- Customer
- Advocacy Organization
- Public Agency
- Business
- Developer or Contractor
- Other

**Online survey results**

- Customer
- Public Agency
- Business
- Advocacy organization
- Developer or contractor
- Other
**Webinar live polls results**

How would you rate your understanding of California's electricity system?

- Just some basics.
- I know a bit about renewable energy and our state's goals.
- I know a fair amount about our state's power sources and energy policies.
- I know a lot about wholesale markets, rate design and energy policy.
- I'm a practicing expert in electric energy issues in California.

**Online survey results**

- Just some basics
- I know a bit about renewable energy and our state's goals
- I know a fair amount about our state's power sources and energy policies
- I know a lot about wholesale markets, rate design, and energy policy
- I'm a practicing expert in electric energy issues in California
Webinar live polls results

Select resources you would like to see as part of the EverGreen supply mix (select all that apply)

- Battery Storage
- Solar
- Aggregated behind-the-meter resources
- Wind
- Offshore Wind
- Geothermal
- Biomass/biowaste
- Hydropower

Online survey results

- Battery Storage
- Solar
- Aggregated behind-the-meter resources
- Wind
- Offshore Wind
- Geothermal
- Biomass/biowaste
- Hydropower
**Webinar live polls results**

Select your top 3 priorities for EverGreen resources (choose 3)

- Build new renewable resources
- Keep all EverGreen sources inside Sonoma and Mendocino Counties
- Cut greenhouse gas emissions as much as possible
- Use local labor
- Match the hourly output of EverGreen sources with our customer demand
- Keep rates low
- Avoid using agricultural land
- Use union labor

**Online survey results**

- Build new renewable resources
- Keep all EverGreen sources inside Sonoma and Mendocino Counties
- Cut greenhouse gas emissions as much as possible
- Use local labor
- Match the hourly output of EverGreen sources with our customer demand
- Keep rates low
- Avoid using agricultural land
- Use union labor
Webinar live polls results

How important is the cost premium in determining your participation in EverGreen?

Online survey results

- 1 not important
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5 top priority/barrier to participation
- N/A - not a SCP customer

sonomacleanpower.org
Public Workshop #1 webinar public comments

The public comment portion of the webinar is included in the video recording of the workshop posted on our website (https://sonomacleanpower.org/programs/evergreen) at approximately minute 32, however is also summarized below:

Angela Beran – City of Rohnert Park
• If solar would be built, should be on city facilities

Darrin Jenkins – City of Rohnert Park
• Agrees with previous commenter. Battery storage is important given PSPS and August Stage 3 CAISO outages; Solar without battery storage is creating problems; 100 accounts in Rohnert Park where you could put batteries; provide essential public services, improve grid / reduce use on non-renewables; buying more Geysers doesn’t build new renewables just shifts; need batteries to complement solar

Woody Hastings – EverGreen Customer/ The Climate Center
• High priority is focusing on distributed / aggregated customer resources; Climate Center is emphasizing development of community microgrids for resiliency; storage is essential but isn’t only stationary, it can be electric vehicles (EVs) and EVs with Vehicle-to-grid (V2G) capability; Transportation is the big GHG driver and would like SCP to focus on V2G enhancement; SCP should be a leader in community microgrids and partner with financial institutions; SCP should better engage with member jurisdictions and ask each city and Mendocino County where are buildings and parking lots where you could put solar shade and EV chargers; how do you maximize build-out of local resources and export excess power for EverGreen customers

Mark Mortensen – Emergency Climate Action Now/ Friends of Climate Action Plan
• Encourages from solar, wind, geothermal, and hydro however does not support biomass; will provide link to paper on how dirty biomass is; Electricity demand will increase with electrification; recognizes resiliency concerns and importance of local power that SCP provides; emphasizes need for immediate action and dispense with bureaucratic delays to quickly address crisis; local-level microgrids are essential to move away from weather and seismic susceptible transmission; increase incentives for local solar installations, particularly disadvantaged communities; looking forward to results from separate long term storage RFO
Sunny Galbraith - EverGreen Customer / worked with Sebastopol City Council / 350 Sonoma / Alliance for Just Recovery/ High School teacher

- Agree on no biomass, engaging local jurisdictions, more storage/microgrids. See if there is an opportunity to work with community groups to help recruit more customers to EverGreen. Using local labor and promoting apprenticeship programs will get local unions on board which could be leveraged to increase subscription. New projects should include high labor standards. Great opportunity for education partnerships; linking with apprenticeship or projects with classes.

John Rosenblum - Industrial Energy Consultant

- First comment: EverGreen is only 2-3% of total SCP demand, so questions the need to focus on this; integrate EverGreen with the larger resource; microgrids enable sharing of distributed energy resources; ProFIT program is low in how it compensates; massive jump in non-residential usage and need explanation
- Second comment: Understanding is that <1 MW isn’t recognized in ProFIT; Doesn’t understand the background for 1 MW limit; how is SCP tracking all the small resources that are across the county before determining additional ProFIT or EverGreen is needed.

Ted Tiffany - Guttmann & Blaevoet Consulting Engineers / Building Decarbonization Coalition

- Partner with local unified school districts that have a lot of parking lots and solar resources that are mostly net exporters and are resiliency centers; apply battery storage to these schools; solar required on all new residential rooftops and would like to see community solar as an alternative (SMUD is only entity that has currently applied to CEC community solar); encourages SCP to apply with CEC for community solar.

David Liebman - Santa Rosa Junior College (SRJC)

- SRJC is building a microgrid; Local Resource Plan needs to come out with a ratio of what’s supplied behind-the-meter (BTM) vs wholesale distribution tariff, expand aggregated resources for demand response and load shifting, promoting building efficiency, substation-level dive into PSPS impact and strategic location of resources to address resiliency, address lack of capacity for public sector agencies to support resiliency and distributed energy resources (DER) analysis.

Kevin McDonnell - Petaluma Council / Petaluma Planning Commission

- Less solar on open fields and more on blacktop asphalt; with new developments coming on, would appreciate partnering with SCP to get a model ordinance County-wide; SCP should have a leadership role in getting
the developers a partnership with a firm that is doing solar install (“presenting
benefit” vs. “hunting”).

Joseph Wiedman - EverGreen Customer / Resident of Cloverdale / work at Peninsula
Clean Energy
- Like that EverGreen attempts to match resources with load on a 24x7 basis as
that is where the state is wanted suppliers to head; would like to see storage
on existing facilities or future facilities; encourages SCP to formally work with
jurisdictions on developing community microgrids; Cloverdale is talking about
how to develop microgrids in certain parts of downtown given repeated PSPS;
opportunity to partner with PG&E to help PG&E do a better job.

Kevin Conway
- Move away from fossil-fuel macrogrids to connected microgrids, start with
residential rooftop solar and battery storage, V2G technologies, worried that
EverGreen disincentivizes people from getting their homes setup with solar
and battery systems.

Andy Ferguson - EverGreen Customer
- Really like that onshore and offshore wind listed; wind is inexpensive and
supplants the need for battery power, would like to see more technical studies
on how SCP envisions path for potential onshore and offshore wind as
resources and how it might interconnect with regional/ northern California
development; microgrids are complicated (BTM aggregated, grid structure for
regional energy sources); Would like to see thorough study brought to the
public of how that would fit into the picture; microgrids are extremely
important, but the big grid is also important.

Online survey written comments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If there were just one thing we could do to make EverGreen better, what would it be?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Get more people to use it and build more renewable resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Just keep the environment in mind with future decisions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Eliminate bio-char (if it is being used).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Add nuclear or encourage micro production.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Localized power sources to improve PSPS handling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reduce dependence on geothermal and biomass resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• I am not familiar with Evergreen enough to answer this question thoughtfully.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| • Compare rates and renewable mix of SCP with other CCAs to get more people in
this region excited to join EverGreen. |
• arrange for storage or backup - I have solar but no storage and with increasing blackouts, and medical needs, backup is an issue
• Incentivize storage to allow customers to consume all their net power during peak (and cheap) solar output
• Support affordable battery backup for my solar system summer and winter!
• smart grid
• Expanded discounts on some form of energy-related household products, but not necessarily limited to EE products that save energy.
• more of it, and help with infrastructure to convert fossil gas fueled multifamily complexes to all-electric
• Geothermal base-load power & integrated battery storage, including EV charging/grid balancing services, will be the major local power supply issues of the next decade. Focus on these, as well as urban neighborhood micro-grid development.
• Provide Customers with a list of Evergreen Power Resources companies
• Keep it local and keep prices down. Affordable for the everyday person.
• Long term plan for establishing behind the meter public micro utility. This would need to include feasibility studies.
• It’s very confusing how it impacts grid-tied NEM customers. I tried to figure it out and gave up.
• Provide power from local new renewables
• expand responsibly
• Make it less expensive than fossil fuel power sources.
• Community solar farms for customers to buy into. Some of us live behind immovable trees and cannot have our own roof top solar.
• Provide more local resources such that when the geysers and/or solar are not producing, evergreen customers are still receiving carbon free power
• Aggregated behind the meter resources - particularly rooftop solar
• Provide map, if possible, of the sources.
• Local renewable power sources
• If possible, stack functions in the creation of local power generation: solar panels on buildings, as shade and rain protection in parking lots, etc.
• Make it easier to transition from PGE to Evergreen for those with solar and true up.
• Showcase substantial energy efficiency by building reporting energy use per occupant and per square foot of building both residential and commercial by use type.
• Maybe push the urgency of climate breakdown more? Emphasize how important clean energy is to a livable future.
• Help every customer install solar and battery storage for their use and to feed back into grid.
• I’m a pretty new customer so not ready to comment.
• Periodic updates about where the energy is coming from.
• Market it more
Please provide any recommendations for EverGreen to expand inclusion and participation of underserved communities, including minorities and low income customers.

- **discount?**
- Partner with existing Bilingual nonprofits and existing community education programs (i.e. recology, Sonoma Water) to have quarterly information sessions with goodies and high demand energy efficiency equipment (bulbs, switches, thermostats, etc.)
- Provide outreach materials in Spanish too.
- Special rates for low income customers? Perhaps solicit donations to support such a fund.
- Maybe offer an optional charge that people can opt into to subsidize those who can’t afford it? Or spend some of your money supporting the lobbying effort to put a price on carbon.
- Provide lower rates to those who would qualify.
- Senior Housing and low income apt. complexes and renters have little say on the energy efficiency of the buildings they live in
- Hire a consultant for not just language translation but cultural translation so that programs are specifically tailored to work for minority customers
- Support training of technical community change agents for basic home energy conservation measures.
- **rate credit**
- help with infrastructure to convert fossil gas fueled multifamily complexes to all-electric
- Evergreen is an additional cost, not sure how to market higher utilities to low income. Also not sure how race comes into a utilities question.
- **YES, please do so!**
- Subsidize EV acquisition & deployment, EV robo-taxis, & all other electric powered public transit options. Subsidize building energy efficiency & universal electrification as much as possible.
- The Evergreen program has been very under marketed since its inception. Most people have no idea it exists or what the cost and benefits are.
- Create a corporate mechanism for sponsorship of specific communities going to evergreen. Also, buy new load from underserved communities by supplying free direct-install new electric equipment to replace existing propane use.
- Solar is supposed to be the cheapest energy. Pass that onto low income customers.
- Could each new customer on the evergreen rate allowing them to opt-out at a later date. Could also just increase SCP portfolio to 90% renewable/carbon free and everyone enjoys cleaner energy
- Financial support to lower initial cost of whole-house retrofit, ideally to provide positive cash flow relative to baseline (see below). It’s not just electricity - we must eliminate GHG associated with fossil gas too.
- Find organization that wants to subsidize low income accounts so they can become EverGreen.
- Provide free solar installations and microgrids in low income communities. Offer Evergreen without a surcharge for families categorized as low income and very low income.
• Provide substantial energy efficiency of building envelope and solar water heating shared by multiple units that renters benefit from.
• We should develop enough excess capacity to subsidize enrollment in evergreen for low-income families, starting with the poorest zip codes and working up.
• Create an home storage installation program for seniors. Seniors seem to be at the mercy of PG&E and may have low capital to fund backup options or high peak power costs. Plus they can be housebound and less able to evacuate in PSPS conditions.
• Great question! Thanks for asking that. I would go directly to leaders in those communities for that input. Providing jobs maybe and special rates for those in lower income brackets.
• Subsidize participation in EverGreen for low income customers
• Microgrid in Roseland

Open comments/suggestions

• Better marketing! :  Save the planet - Get Evergreen! Be part of the solution... Sign up for Evergreen power  Feel good about your power... Get Evergreen  Your food is organic.... now how about your power  Do your part... get evergreen power  Get 100% local, renewable power 24/7  And promote it on WaccoBB :)  
• Let us know what we are accomplishing by participating, what our goals are for the coming year.  (Invite us to read the website).
• I live in one of the RCHDC (Rural Communities Housing Development Corporation) Senior Housing Complexes.  Our energy costs are all electric appliance buildings (forced air heat, refrigerators, stoves, etc.) are high since many of these projects were built before use of double pane windows and are lacking energy efficiency upgrades. Door frames need some fixing - Before my recent move to this complex, I rented from a LLC ownership of my apt. bldg. that did not want to take advantage of HEAP (Home Energy Assistance) or PG&E energy upgrades ( replace old windows, new energy efficient appliances etc.) because the catch was they had to agree not to raise rents for two years.  Please think about so many of the rental properties in Mendocino County that are wasting energy and how to partner with non-residential out of area property owners, investment LLC’s, rental management companies and etc. in getting improvements to their rentals to save energy without impacting our rents and their income streams.
• While I applaud SCP for providing renewable energy, I think cities like Santa Rosa should install solar on its property and produce its own power in addition to supporting Evergreen
• Incentivize storage to allow local base load power generators (like hydro and biomass) to store power generation in the day and deliver that stored power at peak (and profitable) times, i.e. 4-9pm
• Thank you for your important contributions to our communities. Much appreciated!
• Talk to Ellie at the climate center. She’s a dynamo.
• help with infrastructure to convert fossil gas fueled multifamily complexes to all-electric--where I live the transformer for the complex doesn’t have enough juice for us to convert to all electric appliances and heat/cooling. Help!
I already have solar on my home rooftop. CA state law is set to dramatically expand residential solar deployment. SCP should be working with SunRun, Tesla, etc to integrate solar, battery storage & EV charging/ grid balancing services with urban neighborhood micro-grids.

Keep it up! Thanks.

While I appreciate the efforts and programs, SCP needs to work on its fundamental strategies to meet our regional GHG reduction goals. There is little analysis or planning for how the program offerings fit into the strategies to achieve our goals. SCP can’t do all of this alone. We need the cities & SCP to advance financing strategies to achieve our goals.

1. publish a study on local renewable and storage potential. 2. Provide an interactive map of all local renewables or DER’s in the service territory to grow engagement 3. Build resiliency hubs around community centers in each metro and use those as engagement outlets/partnerships 4. Create a mechanism for locals to invest in the building of local renewables through some aggregated investment vehicle offered through a local bank or credit union.

We believe that decentralized local generation is more reliable, safer, and cheaper. With that said we definitely support you EverGreen efforts and would like to participate on local development.

thanks for giving us a choice

In looking at electrifying existing mixed fuel homes with 100% clean electricity, the current cost of operating them with EverGreen vs. amortized rooftop solar is about 2.5x greater - a very tough proposition, even granted extra grid services embedded w/ EverGreen. Seems a non starter at this point in terms of achieving critical GHG reduction goals.

Simplified calculator to determine how much solar panel installation to put on a home. Should I target just covering our usage? Or can I overshoot a bit and make a small income from selling power to the grid? I'm decent with a spreadsheet but have trouble figuring all of that out.

Partner with the County of Sonoma to build solar parking installations at Veterans buildings throughout the county and the fairgrounds. Partner with hospitals, cities, & towns to create microgrids and backup battery systems. Provide more incentives for homeowners with solar to install backup batteries.

Provide substantial energy efficiency of building envelope improvements so building comfortable without air cooling.

At some point I would like to put solar on my house as I have the means to do so. Would be interested in any resources on this.

Provide subsidies for energy upgrades and figure out a way to reach rental units.
To: Sonoma Clean Power Authority Community Advisory Committee  
From: Geof Syphers, CEO  
       Mike Koszalka, COO  
       Rebecca Simonson, Director of Planning and Analytics  
       Neal Reardon, Director of Regulatory Affairs  
       Erica Torgerson, Director of Customer Service

Issue: Recommendation that the Board Provide Stability to Customer Bills by Approving a Conditional Rate Adjustment to Reduce Generation Rates 30 days after PG&E implements fee and rate changes  
Date: December 16, 2020

Recommended Actions

Recommend that the Board of Directors (“Board”) approve a conditional rate adjustment that protect customers from significant bill increases from changes in PG&E’s fees and bundled rates as follows:

1. If after PG&E implements new rates for PCIA and bundled generation, SCP’s rates are compliant with Financial Policy B2 Sections 1B and 1C and SCP rates result in customer bills no more than 5% above PG&E, make no changes to rates.
2. If conditions for #1 are not met, approve a conditional rate adjustment for implementation 30 days after PG&E rate changes as follows:
   a. Reduce SCP rates such that customer bills average no more than 5% above PG&E so long as no more than $6M of the Operating Account
Fund for Rate Stabilization is forecast to be used with the current Fiscal Year.

b. If conditions #2a is not met, set SCP rates such that $6M of the Operating Account Fund for Rates Stabilization is forecast to be used within the current Fiscal Year regardless of bill comparison to PG&E and return to the Board for further direction.

Background

Two PG&E fees/rate changes will be issued with little advance notice at the outset of 2021, or within the first several months, and will require SCP to move quickly to modify its rates to protect customers from unreasonably large rate impacts. The PG&E fees/rate changes will be: (1) the increase in the Power Charge Indifference Adjustment (PCIA), (2) PG&E’s large annual generation rate change, and (3) changes to transmission and distribution rates which all customers pay equally.

PCIA: The Power Charge Indifference Adjustment (PCIA) our customers pay is the main driver of the challenge. It is the exit fee to cover PG&E’s net stranded costs for resources that Sonoma Clean Power and other CCA customers no longer use. While SCP generation rates are much less than PG&E’s, the addition of the PCIA charge makes it a challenge for SCP to provide low enough generation rates that result in customer total bills below that of PG&E bundled bills.

In 2018, the California Public Utilities Commission ("Commission" or "CPUC") implemented a cap and trigger mechanism for the PCIA via Decision D.18-10-019. SCP customers’ PCIA obligation for calendar 2020 was $102.8 million. This was $38 million above SCP customers’ 2019 obligation. However, the CPUC cap and trigger rules prevented our customers from paying this entire amount in 2020. Instead, the CPUC approved an increase to SCP customers’ PCIA charge of $14.8 million for SCP that was effective May 1, 2020. This $14.8 million figure was derived from a "cap" on increases to the PCIA of 0.5 cents per kWh per year. So, SCP customers were liable for a $38 million increase for 2020 but were only charged a portion of that increase. This means that SCP customers must repay that uncollected amount to PG&E through a PCIA Undercollection Balancing Account ("PUBA") fee.
In addition to the PCIA cap under D, 18-10-019 there is also a “trigger”. The trigger is related to the amount of under-collection of the PCIA in the PUBA by PG&E that occurs because of the cap. Once the trigger is hit, PG&E can request expedited collection of the full PCIA owed for that year. If that collection takes place over a compressed time period it has the potential to cause drastic rate swings. PG&E originally proposed to collect this PUBA amount over the full calendar year of 2021. Because of the extreme volatility in ratepayer costs caused by the cap and trigger, SCP has worked very hard to replace that system with a simpler one. The CPUC has recently reached a decision on a settlement in Southern California Edison (“SCE”) territory to remove the PCIA cap and amortize the 2020 PUBA over 3 years instead of 1 year. SCP and other CCAs filed an identical settlement with PG&E that would create a longer period for customers to pay back the 2020 PUBA and provide rate stability without changing PCIA fees mid-year. However, it would also mean there would be no cap on PCIA increases for 2021. Staff fully expected the CPUC to approve the settlement because 1) all parties including PG&E were in agreement and 2) similar provisions were approved in SCE territory. Unfortunately, as of the publishing of this report, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) proposed to reject the settlement and there is no clear answer on what the PCIA or PG&E bundled rates will be or when they will be implemented. Staff in working very steadfastly to encourage the CPUC to approve the settlement and ex parte meetings are scheduled for December 11 and December 14 to advocate for the settlement.

Initial estimates anticipate that total PCIA charges in 2021 will be approximately a 40% increase from 2020 capped PCIA charges. This is between a 1 and 1.5 cent per kWh increase depending on rate class.

**PG&E Generation Rates:** In addition to the PCIA changes, PG&E’s large annual generation rate change also goes into effect on January 1, 2021, however, it may be delayed until final PUBA rates are determined. PG&E continues to revise its forecasts of future rates, with a final update expected in late December. PG&E predicts they will reduce their generation rates.

The forecast of the PCIA and PG&E’s requested generation rates if the settlement ends up being approved are shown in Table 1, but are still subject to adjustment prior to implementation. Forecast for PG&E generation rates if the settlement isn’t approved are unknown at this time.
Table 1. PG&E Forecast Fees and Illustrative Rates for Jan 1, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PG&amp;E $/kWh (average annual from PG&amp;E Annual Electric True-Up update 11/16/2020)</th>
<th>Forecast PG&amp;E CCA Fees $/kWh from settlement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gen</td>
<td>Delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-1</td>
<td>$0.11166</td>
<td>$0.17707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-10</td>
<td>$0.11899</td>
<td>$0.13597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-19-S</td>
<td>$0.11142</td>
<td>$0.11047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-20-P</td>
<td>$0.10086</td>
<td>$0.08540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-1</td>
<td>$0.11545</td>
<td>$0.17981</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PG&E generation rates are expected to increase again in March 2021 due to the Wildfire Expense Memorandum Account (“WEMA”). The WEMA allows PG&E to track wildfire costs, including insurance premiums, and recover those costs through ratepayers. As of now the forecast impact would be an increase to PG&E rates on March 1, 2021 of 3-4% for generation rates and 2% for non-generation rates. The exact rate change impacts will likely not be known until February 2021. Distribution rates for all customers are also expected to increase in this March 2021 rate change.

Operating Account Fund for Rate Stabilization: In anticipation of these significant financial stresses, SCP’s Board set rates in early 2020 to bank some funds to help offset ratepayer bills in 2021 and authorized the creation of an Operating Account Fund for Rate Stabilization at the May 7, 2020 Board of Directors meeting and contributed $22 million to the fund on October 1, 2020. Staff hope to use this fund to stabilize customer rates for several years. Staff recommends using a modest amount of the Operating Account Fund during this fiscal year because the expectation is that PG&E’s PCIA fee will remain extremely high for the next several years.

When Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant is retired (one unit 11/24/2024 and the other unit on 8/26/2025) PG&E’s total stranded costs will decline by about one third. This will result in a decline in PCIA as well as bundled customer rates.
**Discussion**

Based on most recent forecast of PG&E PCIA fees and bundled customer generation rates if the settlement is approved, SCP customers’ total bills would be significantly more than PG&E Bundled customers on Jan 1, 2021 as shown in Table 2 for the most common rates. Staff recommend protecting customers from these conditions as soon as possible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCP rate</th>
<th>Jan-21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A10</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-19-S</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E20T</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E19P</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E20P</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E20S</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1 AND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETOUC</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1A</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1B</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETOUA</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETOUB</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is anticipated that similar comparisons would be achieved if the settlement isn’t approved, however forecast rates are not yet known. While the initial comparisons between the cases where the settlement is approved or rejected may be similar, the PCIA would then be subject to the trigger mechanism and could be uncapped mid-year causing drastic bill increases while also requiring SCP customers to have to continue to pay back the undercollected amount in future years.

Due to the very high PCIA, SCP needs to protect customers from increased bills by lowering our generation rates as soon as possible once final PG&E rates are known. The fastest SCP can respond to PG&E’s fees and rate changes is 30 days.

Staff therefore recommends lowering rates 30 days after PG&E implements fees and/or bundled rate changes to protect customers.
Conditional Rate Adjustment Recommendation:

Given that the PCIA and PG&E bundled rates are not yet known, there is volatility in rates, and the changes PG&E will implement will increase SCP customer bills, Staff recommends responding as quickly as possible to future PG&E fee and rate changes. Given SCP receives very little advance notice prior to implementation, staff recommends changing rates within 30 days of PG&E rate change implementation.

To provide the greatest stability to customer rates over the next few years, staff recommends utilizing $6M of the $22M Operating Account Fund for Rate Stabilization and preserving some of that fund for 2022 and 2023.

Staff therefore recommends the following:

1. If after PG&E implements new rates for PCIA and bundled generation, SCP’s rates are compliant with Financial Policy B2 Sections 1B and 1C and SCP rates result in average customer bills no more than 5% above PG&E, make no changes to rates.

2. If conditions for #1 are not met, approve a conditional rate adjustment for implementation 30 days after PG&E rate changes as follows:
   a. Reduce SCP rates such that customer bills are no more than 5% above PG&E so long as no more than $6M of the Operating Account Fund for Rate Stabilization is forecast to be used with the current Fiscal Year.
   b. If conditions #2a is not met, set SCP rates such that $6M of the Operating Account Fund for Rates Stabilization is forecast to be used within the current Fiscal Year regardless of bill comparison to PG&E and return to the Board for further direction.

For reference, Financial Policy B2 Section 1B and 1C are:

Section 1B. SCP Rates Less Than 2% Above Bundled Service. When SCP can set its Rates to not more than 2% above PG&E’s Rates, then a minimum of 3% of revenues shall be recovered through rates to provide for the Operating, Program and Collateral Reserves.
Section 1C. SCP Rates Between 2% and 7% Above Bundled Service. When SCP sets its Rates between 2% and 7% above PG&E Rates, it shall maintain existing reserves without contribution or expenditure.

At this time, with given forecasts, Staff anticipates rates can be set at 5% above PG&E bundled rates for the remainder of the Fiscal Year.

A budget adjustment with adjusted fiscal year revenue will be brought to the Committee in February or March 2021.
Staff Report - Item 09

To: Sonoma Clean Power Authority Community Advisory Committee  
From: Geof Syphers, CEO  
Issue: Recommend the Board of Directors Adopt a Resolution Joining CC Power, a JPA of California CCAs, for the Purpose of Joint Procurement of Energy Resources and Products  
Date: December 16, 2020

REQUESTED ACTION:
Staff request the Committee recommend the Board of Directors pass a resolution for SCP to join CC Power, a Joint Powers Agency (JPA) of California community choice programs, in order to facilitate pooled purchases of energy resources and products.

SUMMARY
Over the past several months, the Committee and Board of SCP have discussed the concept of a JPA of CCAs to facilitate joint procurement of resources. On November 16, 2020, the Committee reviewed a full proposal and provided detailed questions to staff relating to the JPA’s purpose, credit, risk, costs and operations. On December 3, 2020, the Board then reviewed the full proposal together with a summary of the Committee’s discussion. Following this December 16, 2020 meeting, staff intend to bring a resolution to the Board to consider voting to join CC Power at the January 7, 2021 Board meeting.

For convenience, all of the staff report from November 16 is included in this report, with certain additions based on Committee and Board requests (such as which CCAs are currently interested in joining CC Power). The new content consists mainly in the section on Community Advisory Committee Input, toward the end of the report.

This item explores the risks and benefits of SCP joining a new Joint Powers Agency together with other California CCAs for the purpose of joint procurement of energy
and storage. This decision will be made by the Directors of SCP’s Board and not SCP’s member city and county jurisdictions.

INTRODUCTION
SCP staff began exploring the potential for participating in joint procurement of energy resources this past summer because of the increasing need for new capacity on the CAISO grid. The need was underscored by the first rotating outages in 19 years that were ordered by CAISO on August 14 and 15, 2020. SCP’s Board of Directors adopted its most recent Integrated Resource Plan on August 6, 2020, calling for 50 MW of long-duration storage. Storage may be best procured through a joint process with other CCAs because some of the storage technologies are best suited to relatively large facilities: pumped hydropower, compressed air, thermal storage and mechanical systems that rely on gravity are examples. However, the CC Power JPA does not limit SCP’s autonomy from procuring storage or other energy products outside of the new joint JPA.

On June 3, 2020, SCP participated with ten other CCAs in issuing a Request for Information (RFI) about long-duration storage technologies. The goals of the RFI were to:

- Collect information that may inform a subsequent long-duration storage Request for Offers, which may be issued as soon as this summer by each of the CCAs individually, or some combination of the Joint CCAs; and
- To collect information that will help the Joint CCAs in their long-term resource planning, including identifying candidate resources for the long-duration storage need identified in the CPUC’s 2019-2020 Reference System Plan.

The RFI responses were encouraging, with a large number of technologies and potential projects. Of the ten CCAs who participated in the RFI, eight chose to further explore joint procurement.

The Joint CCAs consist of:

- Central Coast Community Energy
- East Bay Clean Energy
- MCE Clean Energy
- Peninsula Clean Energy
- Redwood Coast Energy Authority
- San José Clean Energy
- Silicon Valley Clean Energy
- Sonoma Clean Power Authority
The Joint CCAs begin researching mechanisms to facilitate joint procurement, which quickly converged on creating a Joint Powers Agency (JPA) which is a model that municipal utilities have used for decades. The Northern California Power Agency (NCPA) is a JPA of 16 members which facilitates joint procurement of resources for its members, including locally, the Cities of Healdsburg and Ukiah.

The model of forming a “JPA of JPAs” is common among waste management districts, water agencies and other governmental entities which need to undertake joint action. The Joint CCAs began work in September to draft a JPA, which each of the participating CCAs could consider joining.

This report describes the purpose of “CC Power,” the name currently proposed for the JPA (CC standing for community choice), the scope and operation, the expected costs and benefits, and the risks of participating.

**PURPOSE OF CC POWER**

CC Power is designed to facilitate the purchase of energy, capacity, storage and generally any energy products for the agency’s members, which consist of community choice power providers and may, in the future, include other public agencies.

**SCOPE AND OPERATION OF CC POWER**

CC Power will exist mainly to facilitate joint procurement of energy, storage and resource adequacy, so it is expected to initially just staff a General Manager and one full-time assistant. The entity would be staffed mainly by its members and by technical and legal consultants, as needed to issue RFOs and transact, and to manage existing contracts over time. The JPA also allows CC Power to facilitate energy related programs, although there are no immediate plans to use that capability. Finally, the JPA places some limitations on the ability for CC Power to engage in policy and lobbying activities, stating “CC Power is not intended to be a policy-maker or advocate, though it may, from time to time, advance or support public policies in support of its purpose that do not conflict with interests or policies advanced by any Member.” This limitation may be valuable in recognition that CC Power’s board of directors would be made up of the member agencies’ CEOs or their staff designees, and not made up of elected officials.
The proposed CC Power Agency will have only the powers that all of its members share in common. As an example, Redwood Coast Energy Authority does not have the power to condemn property, so if its governing board approves participation in CC Power, then CC Power would also not have that power. This would not, however, have any impact on other CC Power members’ ability to use eminent domain if their JPA provides for that authority.

**COSTS AND BENEFITS**

Administrative costs of CC Power will be borne equally by the members of CC Power, and are expected to be about $30,000 annually per CCA. Other costs include any SCP staff time used for further analysis of potential projects and likely consultant and legal review. Changing the allocation of administrative costs for CC Power can be done by a two-thirds majority of the entire CC Power Board.

Most of the costs of participating in CC Power would come from energy transactions and the preparation for those transactions. All of those costs would be borne only by the member CCAs that are participating in the transaction.

The JPA does not expressly address how the costs of a particular project would be borne by the participating members, but the JPA does provide that different credit strengths of the members could be a factor in determining the costs that the different members would pay for their shares in a project. This means that some members may pay a higher price or post a different amount of collateral for a given contract, but leaves those negotiations to be determined on a project-by-project basis rather than being established in the JPA. SCP is not a rated entity, but has a strong record of financial strength, and staff have not observed any significant price advantage of having a rating at least in today’s energy market. For this reason, SCP would seek to negotiate reasonable terms within any division of costs in joint procurement, and would retain the right to not transact or to separately pursue an independent RFO.

The potential benefits of participating in joint procurement are:

- May receive a larger set of offers in a given RFO, especially for large resources where SCP could not purchase the entire output alone;
- May result in more favorable pricing for resources due to getting more offers and potential economies of scale;
- Sharing expenses relating to RFO development and contract negotiation;
- Information sharing across CCAs relating to new resource types, procurement strategies and resource planning.
RISKS

While there are certain administrative costs, there are no known risks of participating as a member of CC Power because there are no obligations to transact and because the debts and obligations of CC Power are not the debts of the members and these debts cannot be transferred to SCP without SCP’s consent.

There are, however, risks associated with joint procurement. As with all procurement, there are risks associated with:

- Having sufficient technical and market intelligence to evaluate an offer. This risk can be partially addressed by having CC Power hire highly-experienced consultants to negotiate proposed deals. But the need would likely remain for SCP to continue its current practice of independently evaluating proposed agreements with expert staff and consultants.
- Having sufficient legal review from an attorney with experience in California’s energy markets. CC Power would retain expert counsel to negotiate proposed deals and SCP would also independently evaluate any legal matters, as necessary, with its own counsel.
- Contracting for a resource that fails to be constructed or fails to deliver. Every deal of sufficient size to warrant joint procurement will be evaluated for risks associated with counterparty credit, constructability, deliverability, grid constraints (such as fire risk, PSPS events and transmission congestion), and other factors.

In addition to the above ordinary procurement risks, certain additional risks could arise with joint procurement.

- Increased complexity of a given transaction due to multiple parties. Having several offtakers of a single resource means having approval from all parties before finalizing a contract, which could add time to negotiations and potentially add risk of procuring in a timely manner. One advantage SCP has is that it is now working very closely with NCPA because that entity is SCP’s CAISO Scheduling Coordinator beginning on January 1, 2021. That relationship provides us with access to advice from their 50 years of experience. The other mitigating factor is that SCP retains the right to break off from negotiations for joint procurement through CC Power and issue its own independent Request for Offers.
- Potential for a CC Power member to default on a transaction SCP is party to. Section 8.01 of the JPA reiterates California Government Code that “no debt, liability or obligation of CC Power shall be a debt, liability or obligation of any Member unless such Member enters into a Project Agreement specifying
otherwise.” Staff believe that SCP does not have the authority to enter into a contract that knowingly exposes SCP to the debts of another CC Power member. This issue is described in more detail, below, in the section on Community Advisory Committee input.

COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE INPUT

The Community Advisory Committee held a robust discussion about the value and risk of participating in CC Power, and invited staff to return to the Committee’s December 16 meeting for further discussion and consideration of recommending participation.

Overall, Committee members were supportive of developing this new capacity for joint procurement and participating in CC Power. Numerous questions were raised about the detailed function and operation, and requests for additional background information were made (such as including in this report which CCAs are currently active in forming CC Power). Those additions were included in the written report above.

Four substantive questions were raised by the Committee that are addressed here.

1. **In the process of negotiating an energy supply contract, the potential to use the creditworthiness of CC Power’s member CCAs could potentially weaken the legal argument that the debts of one member are not the debts of another member. Is this a concern?**

   From a basic risk management standpoint, it is essential to guard against any risk that the privileges of the JPA structure could be violated, and any process or contract which might risk jeopardizing those privileges would have to be rejected. This view is strongly supported by SCP’s existing JPA, which emphasizes existing California law by stating in Section 2.2:

   “Pursuant to Sections 6508.1 of the Act, the debts, liabilities or obligations of the Authority shall not be debts, liabilities or obligations of the individual Parties unless the governing board of a Party agrees in writing to assume any of the debts, liabilities or obligations of the Authority. A Party who has not agreed to assume an Authority debt, liability or obligation shall not be responsible in any way for such debt, liability or obligation even if a majority of the Parties agree to assume the debt, liability or obligation of the Authority. Notwithstanding Section 8.4 of this Agreement, this Section 2.2 may not be amended unless such amendment is approved by the governing board of sonomacleanpower.org
“each Party.”

When the basic approach to risk management is taken together with SCP’s existing JPA language, SCP does not possess the ability to enter into an agreement which carries a risk of exposing SCP to the debts and liabilities of another CC Power member. The legal review of each potential joint procurement contract would therefore include a consideration of this matter.

2. Can you explain SCP’s right to withdraw from CC Power and the process for doing so?

Section 3.04 establishes a straightforward process for a member to withdraw from the CC Power JPA. SCP’s Board of Directors would pass a resolution withdrawing from CC Power and file that with the clerk of CC Power, effecting the withdrawal. At that time, the withdrawing member must pay any remaining administrative costs it owes. Any financial obligations associated with joint procurement contracts would be established separately in those contracts, and those obligations would continue after SCP withdraws from CC Power.

3. Why not ask NCPA to perform the service of joint procurement for CCAs? Why create a new organization when one already exists?

At the time of the Committee meeting staff did not have the history of conversations with NCPA. Since then, CEO Syphers was able to learn that Silicon Valley Clean Energy approached NCPA this past summer to explore the option of providing joint procurement services to the CCAs and found no interest. In addition, forming CC Power gives CCAs the ability to create a purpose-built organization that is responsive to CCA needs and flexible enough to provide CCA-specific services over time.

4. What could go wrong if a member of CC Power financially defaults on payments in a joint contract that SCP is also party to?

The details of how a default would be handled would be addressed in the negotiations for specific supply agreements in the future. However, because of the issues raised in #1 around ensuring strong protections against the debts and liabilities of other members, any negotiation that SCP participates in would be bounded by an obligation to ensure that SCP holds no financial risk associated with the default of another CC Power member. In practice, this
means that the risk of a CC Power member default would be borne by the energy product seller and priced into the agreement.

NEXT STEPS
At this time, staff are seeking a recommendation from the Committee to the Board of Directors on joining CC Power. A copy of the full draft JPA is attached to this report, and no changes were made since the November 16 Committee meeting.

SUPPLEMENTAL BACKGROUND ON THE LONG-DURATION STORAGE RFO
RFO was issued on 10/15 to a distribution list of over 300. RFO information found here with the following key dates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Scheduled Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of RFO</td>
<td>October 15, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offerors Webinar</td>
<td>October 28, 2020 at 3:30-4:30 p.m. Second Webinar: November 5, 2020 at 2:00 pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadlines to submit questions</td>
<td>November 6, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responses to questions published</td>
<td>November 10, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline to submit proposals</td>
<td>December 1, 2020 by 5:00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review evaluation and ranking of projects</td>
<td>December 1, 2020 to February 2, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project(s) shortlisting</td>
<td>March 2, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developer/Buyer negotiations</td>
<td>March-July 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final contract approval (Tentative)</td>
<td>July 2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two Offerors Webinars were held with heavy participation in both.
The RFO received widespread interest and media attention:

**The First Major Long Duration Storage Procurement Has Arrived**, Greentech Media

*California Community Choice Aggregators issue joint request for up to 500 MW of long-duration energy storage*, Solar Power World, Solar Power World

*California CCAs issue RFO for up to 500 MWs of long-duration storage*, Renewable Energy World

*Calif. aggregators seek 500 MW of long-duration energy storage* S&P Global
FAQs

Regarding the consideration for Sonoma Clean Power to join a Joint Powers Agency (JPA) for the purpose of facilitating pooled purchases of electric energy, energy storage and related actions.

December 8, 2020

What is proposed?
Over the past several months, Sonoma Clean Power (SCP) has been exploring joining a new JPA with other California CCAs for the purpose of joint procurement of electric energy and storage. A vote on the matter will be taken at the January 7, 2021 SCP Board of Directors meeting.

Why is it needed?
Some energy resources are too large for SCP to buy on its own. A JPA would provide SCP with a way to buy a portion of a large resource. An expected early use of the JPA would be to facilitate buying “long duration energy storage” which is a resource that can store electric energy and deliver it back to the grid for at least eight continuous hours.

Who makes the decision?
The decision to join the JPA will be made by the Directors of SCP’s Board and does not require a vote by SCP’s member cities and counties.

What powers would the new JPA have?
The JPA would have only those powers that every member also possesses, so the maximum powers are those which SCP already has.
**Are there financial risks for SCP’s member cities and counties?**

The legal structure of the JPA maintains the financial shield currently in place with SCP, so the debts and liabilities of the new JPA would not be the debts and liabilities of SCP or its participating cities and counties. For this reason, there are no financial risks to SCP’s member cities and counties.

**Who would operate the JPA?**

The member CCAs would hire a full-time General Manager and an assistant to operate the new JPA. The General Manager would then hire outside consultants, attorneys and technical advisors as needed to negotiate contracts. The Board of the new JPA would consist of the General Managers or CEOs of the participating CCAs.

**What obligations come with joining the JPA?**

SCP would be obligated to pay its equal share of the staffing and administrative costs, which are expected to be about $30,000 per year. There are no other obligations, including no obligation to participate in any pooled purchases.
CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY POWER AGENCY
JOINT POWERS AGREEMENT

This Joint Powers Agreement ("Agreement") is made by and among those public agencies who are signatories to this Agreement, and those public agencies which may hereafter become signatories to this Agreement, for the purpose of operating a separate joint powers agency, which is named “California Community Power” or “CC Power.”

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, it is to the mutual benefit of the Members and in the public interest that the Members join together to engage in the exercise of powers they have in common including, but not limited to, (i) the acquisition and operation of wholesale power supplies, resource adequacy and renewable attributes, (ii) the provision of joint consulting and contracting services via master agreements and bulk purchasing and financing of decarbonization products, (iii) the offering of energy risk management and California Independent System Operator (“CAISO”) scheduling services; and (iv) other energy services or programs which may be of benefit to Members (collectively, hereinafter “energy related programs”);

WHEREAS, CC Power’s primary objective is to provide for joint procurement of electrical power and storage and other energy projects for its Members, as set forth in this Agreement;

WHEREAS, the Members intend that CC Power shall better position the Members to administer community choice energy programs, and achieve their local agency goals, including but not limited to meeting or exceeding California’s greenhouse gas emission reduction targets through procurement of renewable resources.

WHEREAS, each of the public community choice aggregation agencies which is a Member to this Agreement has the power to establish, manage, operate and maintain Community Choice Aggregation (“CCA”) programs, electric service enterprises available to cities and counties pursuant to California Public Utilities Code Section 331.1(c) and 366.2 and to study, promote, develop, conduct, operate and manage energy related programs; and

WHEREAS, Title I, Division 7, Chapter 5, Article 1 of the California Government Code (the “Joint Powers Act” or “Act”) authorizes the joint exercise by two or more public agencies of any power which is common to each of them.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Members, for and in consideration of the mutual promises and agreements herein contained, do hereby agree as follows:

Article I. DEFINITIONS

In addition to the other terms defined herein, the following terms, whether in the singular or in the plural, when used herein and initially capitalized, shall have the meanings specified throughout this Agreement.

Section 1.01 “Board” means the Board of Directors of CC Power as established by this Agreement.

Section 1.02 “CC Power” means the Joint Powers Authority established by this Agreement.

Section 1.03 “Member” means a Public CCA Agency, or other public agency the Board determines to be eligible pursuant to Section 3.02, that is a signatory to this Agreement and has met the requirements of
Article III; the term “Member” shall, however, exclude any Public CCA Agency or other eligible public agency which shall have withdrawn or been excluded from CC Power pursuant to Section 3.04 below.

Section 1.04 “Project” means any and all of the following matters, which are approved by the Board pursuant to Article VI: (i) the construction, financing or acquisition of a wholesale power resource, resource adequacy and/or renewable and environmental attributes for use by the Members, and such other transactions, services, and goods that may be necessary or convenient to construct, finance, acquire or optimize the value of such resources, (ii) the bulk purchasing and/or financing of decarbonization products, including, but not limited to, heat pump water heaters, space heater heat pumps and electric vehicle charging services, (iii) energy risk management and CAISO scheduling products and services, (iv) acquisition, construction and financing of facilities for the generation or transmission of electrical energy and any related transactions, services, and goods that may be necessary or convenient to acquire, construct, and finance these facilities, (v) grid integration services, (vi) acquisition of capacity rights in any facility for the generation or transmission of electric energy, and (vii) any other energy related programs.

Section 1.05 “Project Agreement” means a contract between and among CC Power and Project Participants.

Section 1.06 “Project Participants” means any Member or group of Members who participate in a Project pursuant to Article VI below.

Section 1.07 “Public CCA Agency” means any public agency, or such joint powers agencies/authorities consisting of one or more public agencies, that has implemented a CCA program pursuant to California Public Utilities Code Sections 331.1 and 366.2.

Article II. FORMATION OF AUTHORITY

Section 2.01 Creation of CC Power. Pursuant to the Joint Powers Act, there is hereby created a public entity, to be known as “CC Power,” which shall be a public entity separate and apart from its Members.

Section 2.02 Purpose. The purpose of this Agreement is for CC Power to develop, acquire, construct, own, manage, contract for, engage in, finance and/or provide energy related programs for the use of and by its Members. CC Power is not intended to be a policy-maker or advocate, though it may, from time to time, advance or support public policies in support of its purpose that do not conflict with interests or policies advanced by any Member.

Section 2.03 Powers. CC Power is authorized, in its own name, to do all acts necessary to fulfill the purposes of this Agreement as referred to in Section 2.02 above, and engage in the exercise of powers the Members have in common including, but not limited to, each of the following:

(a) Acquire, purchase, finance, offer, arrange, construct, maintain, utilize and/or operate one or more Projects;
(b) Establish, operate, maintain and/or fund energy related programs;
(c) Make and enter into contracts;
(d) Employ agents and employees;
(e) Acquire, contract, manage, maintain, sell or otherwise dispose of real and personal property and operate any buildings, infrastructure, works, or improvements;
(f) Receive contributions and donations of property, funds, services and other forms of assistance from any source;
(g) Lease real or personal property as lessee and as lessor;
(h) Sue and be sued in its own name;
(i) Incur debts, liabilities, and obligations, including but not limited to loans from private
lending sources pursuant to its temporary borrowing powers such as Government Code
Sections 53850 et seq. and authority under the Act;
(j) Receive, collect, invest and disburse moneys;
(k) Issue revenue bonds and other forms of indebtedness, as provided by law;
(l) Apply for, accept, and receive all licenses, permits, grants, loans or other aids from any
federal, state, or local public agency;
(m) Make and enter into service agreements relating to the provision of services necessary to
plan, implement, operate and administer energy related
programs;
(n) Adopt from time to time such policies, procedures, bylaws, rules or regulations for the
conduct of its affairs as deemed necessary by the Board;
(o) Exercise all other powers necessary and proper to carry out this Agreement; and
(p) Defend, hold harmless, and indemnify, to the fullest extent permitted by law, each Member
from any liability, claims, suits, or other actions.

Such powers shall be exercised in the manner provided in Section 6509 of the Government Code of
the State of California, as amended, subject only to such restrictions upon the manner of exercising such
powers as are imposed upon Silicon Valley Clean Energy in the exercise of similar powers. Should Silicon
Valley Clean Energy withdraw or be excluded from this Agreement pursuant to Section 3.04 hereof, the
manner of exercising any power shall be subject only to the restrictions upon the manner of exercising such
powers as are imposed upon Marin Clean Energy.

Section 2.04  Compliance with Local Zoning and Building Laws and CEQA. Unless state or federal law
provides otherwise, any facilities, buildings or structures located, constructed, or caused to be constructed by
CC Power within the territory of CC Power shall comply with the General Plan, zoning and building laws of
the local jurisdiction within which the facilities, buildings or structures are constructed and comply with the
California Environmental Quality Act.

Article III.  MEMBERSHIP

Section 3.01  Member Agencies. Any Public CCA Agency, or other public agency determined by the
Board to be eligible pursuant to Section 3.02, may become a Member upon meeting the following conditions:
(a) The Public CCA Agency or other eligible public agency shall file with the Board a certified
copy of a resolution of its governing body whereby it (i) agrees to the provisions of this
Agreement, and (ii) requests to become a Member; and
(b) No such Public CCA Agency or other eligible public agency shall become a Member until
(i) its admission is approved at a regular or special meeting of the Board by at least two-
thirds (2/3) of the entire Board, and (ii) it deposits or agrees to pay CC Power a share of
organization, planning and other costs and charges as determined by the Board to be
appropriate, if any.

Upon completion of the foregoing, the Public CCA Agency or other eligible public agency shall
become a Member for all purposes of this Agreement.

Section 3.02  Eligible Public Agency Members. The Board may adopt policies to determine whether
public agencies that are not Public CCA Agencies may be eligible to become a Member of CC Power.

Section 3.03  Cost Allocations.
(a) Unless otherwise determined by a two-thirds (2/3) vote of the entire Board, each Member
shall pay an equal share of one member one share for general and administrative costs as
determined by the Board associated with all operations of CC Power. General and
administrative costs do not include any costs that relate solely to any specific Project
Agreement.
Project Agreements and other program agreements between and among any Member and/or CC Power will determine cost allocation and may consider, among other relevant factors, credit strength of the Members and may differ in price and collateral requirements as determined solely for such Project Agreement or other program agreements.

**Section 3.04 Withdrawal or Exclusion of Member.**

(a) Any Member may withdraw from CC Power upon the following conditions:

(i) The Member shall have filed with the Board Secretary a certified copy of a resolution of its governing body expressing its desire to so withdraw. Once a Member files a resolution to withdraw with the Board Secretary, that Member no longer has any voting rights on the Board;

(ii) Members participating in Projects, programs or services pursuant to Project Agreements or other program agreements approved by the Board are subject to the participation and withdrawal terms and conditions described in the applicable agreement; and

(iii) Prior to accepting the Member’s filing of such resolution, any Member so terminating shall be obligated to pay its share of all debts, liabilities, and obligations of CC Power specifically assumed by the Member. However, this obligation shall take into account any refunds due to the Member and shall not extend to debts, liabilities and obligations secured or otherwise committed pursuant to Project Agreements or other program agreements between and among any Member and/or CC Power. The debts, liabilities and obligations of the Members to such Project Agreements or other program agreements shall be determined by their terms. Any obligations under this Agreement are subject to the limitations set forth in Article VIII.

(b) Upon compliance with the conditions specified in Section 3.04(a), the Board shall accept the withdrawing Member’s resolution and the withdrawing Member shall no longer be considered a Member for any reason or purpose under this Agreement and its rights and obligations under this Agreement shall terminate. The withdrawal of a Member shall not affect any obligations of such Member under any Project Agreement or other program agreement.

(c) Any Member which has (i) defaulted under this Agreement, a Project Agreement, or other program agreement, (ii) failed to appoint a Director to serve on the Board in accordance with Section 4.02 below, or (iii) failed to pay any required share of costs in accordance with Sections 3.01 and 3.03 above, may have its rights under this Agreement terminated and may be excluded from participation in CC Power by the vote (taken at a regular or special meeting of the Board) of at least two-thirds (2/3) of the entire Board (including the Director representing the defaulting Member). Prior to any vote to terminate participation of any Member, written notice of the proposed termination and the reason(s) for such termination shall be delivered to the Member whose termination is proposed at least 60 days prior to the Board meeting at which such matter shall first be discussed as an agenda item. The written notice of the proposed termination shall specify the particular provisions of this Agreement or a Project Agreement or other program agreement which the Member has allegedly defaulted on, or whether the proposed termination is based on failure to appoint a Director or pay any required share of costs. The Member subject to possible termination shall have the opportunity to cure the violation prior to the meeting at which termination will be considered. At the meeting where termination of the Member is considered, the Member shall be given the opportunity to respond to any reasons and allegations that may be cited as a basis for termination prior to a termination vote. Any excluded Member shall continue to be liable for its obligations under any Project Agreement or other program agreement and for any unpaid contribution, payment, or advance approved by the Board prior to such Member’s exclusion.
(d) The withdrawal or termination of a Member shall not affect the provisions or obligations set forth in Article VII or Section 11.03 below.

**Article IV. POWERS OF BOARD & MANAGEMENT OF CC POWER**

**Section 4.01 Board.** CC Power shall be administered by a Board which shall consist of one Director representing each Member. Such Board shall be the governing body of this CC Power, and, as such, shall be vested with the powers set forth in this Agreement, and shall execute and administer this Agreement in accordance with the purposes and functions provided herein. The Board shall have the authority to provide for the general management and oversight of the affairs, property and business of CC Power.

**Section 4.02 Appointment and Vacancies.** Each Director shall be the Chief Executive Officer, General Manager, or designee of the Chief Executive Officer or General Manager of each Member and shall be appointed by and serve at the pleasure of the Member that the Director represents, and may be removed as Director by such Member at any time. If at any time a vacancy occurs on the Board, a replacement shall be appointed by the Member to fill the position of the previous Director in accordance with the provisions of this Article IV within 60 days of the date that such position becomes vacant or the Member shall be subject to the exclusion procedures in Section 3.04(c) above. Each Director may appoint an alternate to serve in their absence.

**Section 4.03 Notices.** The Board shall comply with the applicable provisions of Sections 6503.5, 6503.6 and 53051 of the Government Code requiring the filing of notices and a statement with the Secretary of State, the State Controller, the applicable county clerk and local agency formation commissions, including, but not limited to:

(a) Causing a notice of the Agreement or any amendment to the Agreement to be prepared and filed with the office of the Secretary of State within 30 days of the effective date of the Agreement or amendment, and

(b) Filing a statement of facts with the Secretary of State within 70 days after the date of commencement of CC Power’s legal existence. Upon any change in the statement of facts presented to the Secretary of State, an amended statement of facts shall be filed with the Secretary of State within 10 days of the change.

**Section 4.04 Committees.** The Board may create committees to provide advice to the Board or conduct the business of CC Power subject to delegation of authority from the Board.

**Section 4.05 Director Compensation.** Compensation for work performed by Directors, including alternates, on behalf of CC Power shall be borne by the Member that appointed the Director. The Board, however, may adopt by resolution a policy relating to the reimbursement of expenses incurred by Directors.

**Section 4.06 Board Officers.** At its first meeting in each calendar year, the Board shall elect or re-elect a Chair and a Vice-Chair each of whom shall be selected from among the Directors and shall also appoint or re-appoint a Secretary and a Treasurer/Controller each of whom may, but need not, be selected from among the Directors.

(a) **Chair and Vice-Chair.** The duties of the Chair shall be to preside over the Board meetings, sign all ordinances, resolutions, contracts and correspondence adopted or authorized by the Board, and to help ensure the Board’s directives and resolutions are carried out. In the absence or inability of the Chair to act, the Vice Chair shall act as Chair.

(b) **Treasurer and Controller.** The Board shall appoint a qualified person to act as the Treasurer and a qualified person to act as the Controller, neither of whom needs to be a Director. If the Board so designates, and in accordance with the provisions of applicable law,
a qualified person may hold both the office of Treasurer and the office of Controller of CC Power. The Treasurer shall be the depository of CC Power to have custody of all the money of CC Power, from whatever source. The Controller shall draw warrants to pay demands against CC Power when the demands have been approved by the Chair or Vice Chair of CC Power. The Treasurer and Controller shall have the other powers, duties and responsibilities of such officers as specified in Section 6505 of the Government Code of the State of California, as amended, except insofar as such powers, duties and responsibilities are assigned to a trustee appointed, as is provided for and authorized in Section 6550 of the Government Code of the State of California, as amended, pursuant to any resolution, indenture or other instrument providing for the issuance of bonds or notes of CC Power pursuant to this Agreement. The Board may require the Treasurer and/or Controller to file with CC Power an official bond in an amount to be fixed by the Board, and if so requested CC Power shall pay the cost of premiums associated with the bond. The Treasurer and Controller shall cause an independent audit to be made by a certified public accountant, or public accountants, in compliance with Section 6505 of the Government Code.

(c) Secretary. The Secretary shall be responsible for keeping the minutes of all meetings of the Board and all other official records of CC Power, and responding to public records requests of the JPA.

Section 4.07 Management of CC Power. The Board shall appoint a part-time or full-time General Manager, and may appoint one or more part-time or full-time Assistant General Managers, to serve at the pleasure of the Board. The General Manager shall be responsible for the day-to-day operation and management of CC Power. The General Manager may enter into and execute contracts in accordance with the policies established and direction provided by the Board, and shall file an official bond in the amount determined from time to time by the Board.

Section 4.08 Other Officers and Employees. The Board shall have the power to appoint such other officers and staff as it may deem necessary who shall have such powers, duties and responsibilities as are determined by the Board, and to retain independent accountants, legal counsel, engineers and other consultants. The Members may contract with CC Power to provide staff to perform services for CC Power, but such employees shall at all times, and for all purposes including benefits and compensation, remain employees of the Member only.

Section 4.09 Budget. The budget shall be approved by the Board. The Board may revise the budget from time-to-time as may be reasonably necessary to address contingencies and expected expenses. All subsequent budgets of CC Power shall be approved by the Board in accordance with rules as may be adopted by the Board from time to time. All expenditures must be made in accordance with the adopted budget.

Article V. MEETINGS OF THE BOARD

Section 5.01 Regular Meetings. The Board shall hold at least one regular meeting per year, but the Board may provide for the holding of regular meetings at more frequent intervals. The date, hour and place of each regular meeting shall be fixed by resolution of the Board. Regular meetings may be adjourned to another meeting time.

Section 5.02 Special Meetings. Special and emergency meetings of the Board may be called in accordance with the provisions of California Government Code Sections 54956 and 54956.5, as amended.

Section 5.03 Brown Act Compliance. All meetings of the Board shall be conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Ralph M. Brown Act (California Government Code Section 54950 et seq.), and as
augmented by rules of the Board not inconsistent therewith. Directors may participate in meetings telephonically or by other electronic means, with full voting rights, only to the extent permitted by law.

Section 5.04 Minutes. The Secretary shall cause to be kept minutes of the meetings of the Board, both regular and special, and shall cause a copy of the minutes to be forwarded promptly to each Director.

Section 5.05 Quorum. A quorum of the Board shall consist of a majority of the Directors, except that less than a quorum may adjourn from time to time in accordance with law.

Section 5.06 Voting. Except to the extent set forth in a Project Agreement or as otherwise specified in this Agreement, each Member shall have one vote, which may be cast on any matter before the Board by each Director or alternate. Except to the extent otherwise specified in this Agreement, or by law, a vote of the majority of the Directors in attendance shall be sufficient to constitute action, provided a quorum is established and maintained.

(a) Special Voting Requirements as specified in this Agreement:

(i) Action of the Board to amend Section 3.03 related to cost allocations shall require the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds (2/3) of the entire Board.

(ii) Action of the Board on the matters set forth in Section 3.04(c) related to involuntary termination of a Member shall require the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds (2/3) of the entire Board.

(iii) Action of the Board on the matters set forth in Section 9.01 related to termination of this Agreement shall require the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds (2/3) of the entire Board approved by resolution of each Member’s governing body.

(iv) Action of the Board to amend this Agreement shall be subject to the voting requirements set forth in Section 11.02 below.

Article VI. PROJECTS

Section 6.01 Projects. The Board has the power, upon majority vote of the Directors in attendance, provided a quorum is established and maintained, to establish Projects within the purpose and power of CC Power and to adopt guidelines for their implementation.

Section 6.02 Right to Participate in Projects. The Board shall provide at least sixty (60) days prior written notice to all Members, unless such notice is otherwise waived, before any Project may be considered for adoption by a vote of the Board. Such notice shall be provided to the Director of each Member. Once a Project is approved by the Board as set forth in Section 6.01 above, all Members shall have the right, but not the obligation, to participate in a pro-rata share in the Project as determined by the Project Agreement. All Members who elect not to participate in the Project have no obligations under the Project.

Section 6.03 Project Agreement. All expenses, rights and obligations to any specific Projects will be handled through Project Agreements that will be separate and distinct from this Agreement.

Article VII. BONDS AND OTHER INDEBTEDNESS

CC Power shall also have the power to issue, sell and deliver bonds in accordance with the provisions of the Joint Powers Act for the purpose of acquiring, financing, performing or constructing one or more Projects and to enter into other indebtedness for the purpose of financing one or more studies or Projects and for the purpose of providing temporary financing of costs of development, construction or acquisition of one or more Projects. The terms and conditions of the issuance of any such bonds or indebtedness shall be set forth in such resolution, indenture or other instrument, as required by law and as approved by the Board. Bonds issued under this article and contracts or obligations entered into to carry out the purposes for which bonds are issued, payable in whole or in part from the proceeds of said bonds, shall not constitute a debt, liability or
obligation of any of the Members unless the governing body of the Member by resolution expressly agrees that the Member will be obligated under the bond or other indebtedness or the Member takes on obligations pursuant to a Project Agreement.

**Article VIII. LIMITATION ON LIABILITY OF MEMBERS**

**Section 8.01** Pursuant to Section 6508.1 of the Government Code of the State of California, no debt, liability or obligation of CC Power shall be a debt, liability or obligation of any Member unless such Member agrees in writing to assume any of the debts, liabilities, or obligations of CC Power pursuant to a Project Agreement. Nothing contained in this Article VIII shall in any way diminish the liability of any Member with respect to any Project Agreement such Member enters into pursuant to this Agreement.

**Section 8.02** Individual Member Provisions.

(a) The City of San José is a municipal corporation and is precluded under the California State Constitution and applicable law from entering into obligations that financially bind future governing bodies, and, therefore, nothing in the Agreement shall constitute an obligation of future legislative bodies of the City to appropriate funds for purposes of the Agreement. Any obligations under this Agreement and any Project Agreement are special limited obligations of San José Clean Energy payable solely from the Designated Fund (defined as the San Jose Energy Operating Fund established pursuant to City of San Jose Municipal Code, Title 4, Part 63, Section 4.80.4050 et seq.) (“Designated Fund”) and shall not be a charge upon the revenues or general fund of the City of San José or upon any non-San José Clean Energy moneys or other property of the Community Energy Department or the City of San José.

(b) CleanPowerSF’s payment obligations under this Agreement are special limited obligations of CleanPowerSF payable solely from the revenues of CleanPowerSF. CleanPowerSF’s payment obligations under this Agreement are not a charge upon the revenues or general fund of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission or the City and County of San Francisco or upon any non-CleanPowerSF moneys or other property of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission or the City and County of San Francisco. CleanPowerSF’s obligations hereunder shall not at any time exceed the amount certified by the San Francisco City Controller for the purpose and period stated in such certification. Except as may be provided by laws governing emergency procedures, officers and employees of CleanPowerSF are not authorized to request, and CleanPowerSF is not required to reimburse CC Power for, commodities or services beyond the agreed upon contract scope unless the changed scope is authorized by amendment and approved as required by law. Officers and employees of CleanPowerSF are not authorized to offer or promise, nor is CleanPowerSF required to honor, any offered or promised additional funding in excess of the maximum amount of funding for which the contract is certified without certification of the additional amount by the San Francisco City Controller. The San Francisco City Controller is not authorized to make payments on any contract for which funds have not been certified as available in the budget or by supplemental appropriation.

**Article IX. TERM; TERMINATION; LIQUIDATION; DISTRIBUTION**

**Section 9.01** Term and Termination. This Agreement shall become effective when at least two Members execute this Agreement. This Agreement shall continue in full force and effect until terminated as provided in this Article; provided however, this Agreement cannot be terminated until such time as all principal of and interest on bonds and other forms of indebtedness issued by CC Power are paid in full. Thereafter, this Agreement may be terminated by a two-thirds (2/3) vote of the entire Board approved by resolution of each Member’s governing body; provided, however, that this Agreement and CC Power shall continue to exist after termination for the purpose of disposing of all claims, distribution of assets and all other functions necessary to conclude the obligations and affairs of CC Power. In no event shall this
Agreement or the powers herein granted to CC Power be terminated until (a) all bonds and other indebtedness of CC Power and the interest thereon shall have been paid or adequate provision for such payment shall have been made in accordance with the instruments governing such bonds and indebtedness and (b) all other obligations and liabilities of CC Power shall have been met or adequately provided for.

Section 9.02 Liquidation; Distribution. Upon termination of this Agreement, the Board shall liquidate the business and assets and the property of CC Power as expeditiously as possible, and distribute any net proceeds, after the conclusions of all debts and obligations of CC Power, to any Members in proportion to the contributions made or in such manner as otherwise provided by law. The Board is vested with all powers of CC Power for the purpose of concluding and dissolving the business affairs of CC Power.

ARTICLE X. ACCOUNTS AND REPORTS

Section 10.01 Establishment and Administration of Funds. CC Power is responsible for the strict accountability of all funds and reports of all receipts and disbursements. It will comply with every provision of law relating to the establishment and administration of funds, particularly Section 6505 of the California Government Code. CC Power shall establish and maintain such funds and accounts as may be required by good accounting practice or by any provision of any resolution, indenture or other instrument of CC Power securing its bonds or other indebtedness, except insofar as such powers, duties and responsibilities are assigned to a trustee appointed pursuant to such resolution, indenture or other instrument. The books and records of CC Power shall be open to inspection at all reasonable times to each Member and its representatives.

Section 10.02 Annual Audits and Audit Reports. The Treasurer/Controller shall cause an annual independent audit of the accounts and records of CC Power to be made by a certified public accountant or public accountant in accordance with all applicable laws. If permitted by applicable law and authorized by the Board, the audit(s) may be conducted at the longer interval authorized by applicable law. A report of the financial audit will be filed as a public record with each Member. CC Power will pay the cost of the financial audit and charge the cost against the Members in the same manner as other administrative costs.

ARTICLE XI. GENERAL PROVISIONS

Section 11.01 Successors and Assigns. No Member may assign any right or obligation under this Agreement without the consent of all other Members. This section shall not affect, in any respect, any right of assignment under any Project Agreement.

Section 11.02 Amendments. Subject to any requirements of law, a two-thirds (2/3) vote of the entire Board will be required to amend Articles II, III, VIII, and IX of this Agreement. Once an amendment of Articles II, III, VIII, or IX is adopted by the Board, the amendment must be approved by two-thirds of the Members pursuant to that Members’ applicable approval process. All other provisions of this Agreement may be amended at any time or from time to time by an amendment approved by at least two-thirds (2/3) vote of the entire Board. Written notice shall be provided to all Members of proposed amendments to this Agreement, including the effective date of such amendments, at least 60 days prior to the date upon which the Board votes on such amendments.

Section 11.03 Indemnification and Insurance. To the fullest extent permitted by law, CC Power shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the Members and each of their respective Directors, alternates, officers, employees and agents from any and all claims losses damages, costs, injuries and liabilities of every kind arising directly or indirectly from the conduct, activities, operations, acts, and omissions of CC Power under this Agreement to the extent not otherwise provided under a Project Agreement. CC Power shall acquire such insurance coverage as the Board deems is necessary and appropriate to protect the interests of CC Power and the Members.
Section 11.04 Notices. The Board shall designate its principal office as the location at which it will receive notices, correspondence, and other communications, and shall designate one of its Directors or staff as an officer for the purpose of receiving service on behalf of the Board. Any notice given pursuant to this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be dated and signed by the Member giving such notice. Notice to each Member under this Agreement is sufficient if mailed to the Member and separately to the Member’s Director to their respective addresses on file with CC Power.

Section 11.05 Severability. Should any portion, term, condition, or provision of this Agreement be determined by a court of competent jurisdiction to be illegal or in conflict with any law of the State of California, or be otherwise rendered unenforceable or ineffectual, the remaining portions, terms, conditions, and provisions shall not be affected thereby.

Section 11.06 Section Headings. The section headings herein are for convenience only and are not to be construed as modifying or governing the language in the section to which they refer.

Section 11.07 Choice of Law. This Agreement will be governed and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

Section 11.08 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts, and each executed counterpart shall have the same force and effect as an original instrument and as if all Members had signed the same instrument.

Section 11.09 Dispute Resolution. The Members shall make reasonable efforts to informally settle all disputes arising out of, or in connection with, this Agreement. Should such informal efforts to settle a dispute fail, the dispute shall be mediated in accordance with policies and procedures established by the Board.

[Signature Page Follows]
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, each of the Members hereto has caused this Agreement to be executed as an original counterpart by its duly authorized representative on the date indicated below.

Date: __________________________

(Seal)

CCA Name: ______________________

Attest: __________________________

Address: _________________________

_______________________________

Date: __________________________

(Seal)

CCA Name: ______________________

Attest: __________________________

Address: _________________________

_______________________________

Date: __________________________

(Seal)

CCA Name: ______________________

Attest: __________________________

Address: _________________________

_______________________________

Date: __________________________

(Seal)

CCA Name: ______________________

Attest: __________________________

Address: _________________________

_______________________________
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To: Sonoma Clean Power Authority Community Advisory Committee

From: Geof Syphers, Chief Executive Officer

Issue: Receive Report on the Potential Use of Microgrids to Reduce Distribution Costs and Fire Risks in Certain Remote Areas and Consider Recommending a Limited Number of Pilot Projects

Date: December 16, 2020

Requested Committee Action:

Approve a recommendation the Sonoma Clean Power Authority delegate power to the CEO working with the Special Counsel to negotiate and sign an agreement with PG&E approving a limit pilot use of remote microgrids inside the SCPA service territory, subject to the following limitations:

- Limit this approval to a small pilot study where the total across all remote grids is less than 200 kW of peak load.

- Require PG&E to materially involve SCPA in early communications directly with the affected customers to allow SCPA to understand their needs, to inform the customers of opportunities to use clean power sources if they choose to own the microgrid, and if appropriate, to have conversations about SCPA owning or operating generating and storage resources.

- Requires the agreement between SCPA and PG&E that this approval in no way sets precedent for future policies, regulations, fees, tariffs, changes to SCPA’s eligible customers, changes in SCPA’s right or obligation to serve customers, or any other related matter.
**Update on Microgrids**

Over the past six months, SCPA has stepped up its review of PG&E’s distribution grid to help advocate for targeted repairs and system hardening. The focus of this work began as a means to reduce the number of customers affected by Public Safety Power Shutoffs (PSPS), but through recent conversations with PG&E staff has expanded to explore the possible use small-scale remote microgrids as a means to remove extremely expensive or high fire threat sections of distribution circuits. PG&E has begun to evaluate non-traditional options in these areas in lieu of simply replacing all distribution lines. SCPA staff support evaluating all approaches— including novel ones that have not yet been widely used—to provide safe access to services customers prioritize. At the top of PG&E’s list is the avoidance of rebuilding such expensive or risky distribution lines that have been destroyed in a fire, and particularly in places where the circuit segment in question serves only a very few customers. SCPA staff would like to be in a position to partner with PG&E on these efforts.

If a remote microgrid is constructed in place of rebuilding distribution lines, there are several considerations which involve SCPA:

- The customer is removed from SCPA service, which may require permission of SCPA’s Board of Directors because SCPA has an obligation to serve all customers who do not opt out.

- The departing customer(s) would leave behind stranded costs in SCPA’s portfolio because SCPA has procured significant long-term renewable contracts at prices that were much higher than the current or forecast resale value. This could be a issue even if the current customers have opted out of SCPA’s service, since it would preclude them ever returning to SCPA service and also preclude any future owner from receiving SCPA service. In effect, it would shrink SCP’s service geographic service territory.

- The owner/operator of the new microgrid may not choose to use sources of power that are as clean as SCPA’s portfolio, causing an increase in criteria pollutants and greenhouse gas emissions. This could harm both SCPA’s climate goals, but also those of SCPA’s member cities and counties. If fossil-based power sources (e.g. diesel generators) are used, those may also increase the fire risk.

- The removal of distribution lines through Tier 2 and 3 fire threat areas could materially reduce the risk of fires in our region. The social and broad economic
benefits of this are widespread, shared, and potentially significant, but the narrow economic benefits of lower fire risk would mostly flow to PG&E’s shareholders (due to lower risk of a future bankruptcy) and California IOU electric ratepayers (who bear the majority of costs for claims against the State’s wildfire insurance fund).

- In some cases, the avoided cost from not rebuilding a distribution line could be greater than the cost of the microgrid, in which case there would be a net reduction in electric delivery costs for all of PG&E’s ratepayers.

- Staff’s current understanding is that PG&E’s obligation to provide service means that either (a) PG&E would have to own and operate at least the delivery portion of a microgrid, or (b) every single affected customer would have to sign a Discontinuance Agreement with PG&E, saying that they agree to a financial settlement in lieu of service.

In option (a), it may be possible for SCPA to own and operate power generation and storage devices in a remote microgrid and remain the generation provider; there is one example of this in Humboldt County at the Blue Lake Rancheria.

In option (b) it is likely possible for a group of former SCPA and PG&E customers to separate from the grid and operate their own microgrid, although there are a number of grey areas in this scenario when wires cross property lines. PG&E staff have conveyed to SCPA that there are some precedents for paying a willing customer(s) in exchange for executing a Discontinuance Agreement. In that case, the agreement would likely be noted on the deed of the property to inform lenders and potential future buyers that any utility service to the site would be treated as “new service” and carry the full costs of building new infrastructure.

**Key Challenge**

Staff bring this informational item forward because there are a few locations that were burned in our recent fires that may be good candidates for a remote microgrid in lieu of rebuilding distribution lines. Prior to SCPA’s existence, these projects would be unilaterally considered by PG&E alone. Now SCPA must be involved, and this raises a conundrum because post-fire repairs to the distribution grid are typically made
extremely quickly, and yet this new use case carries a number of unanswered questions.

It is staff’s opinion that SCPA should not be the reason any electric customer experiences a delay in restoring service, and it is conceivable that PG&E will ask SCPA for a “yes or no” decision on a project or two on short notice. However, all of the issues described in the first section should be considered before significant territory is de facto removed from SCPA’s service territory.

Beyond a binary decision on a specific project, SCPA staff may seek future guidance from the Committee and Board to develop a policy governing where remote microgrids should be considered. Metrics to inform this policy could include the fire risk of a given line, the number of customers or load served, the interest of those customers in a Discontinuance Agreement, generation resources used, etc. We are not seeking immediate guidance from the Committee on a policy but welcome feedback and an on-going discussion.

For these reasons, staff recommend to the Committee and the Board to consider the following approval.

**Recommendation**

Staff recommend the Community Advisory Committee recommend the Sonoma Clean Power Authority Board of Directors delegate power to the CEO working with the Special Counsel to negotiate and sign an agreement with PG&E approving a limit pilot use of remote microgrids inside the SCPA service territory, subject to the following limitations:

- Limit this approval to a small pilot study where the total across all remote grids is less than 200 kW of peak load.

- Require PG&E to materially involve SCPA in early communications directly with the affected customers to allow SCPA to understand their needs, to inform the customers of opportunities to use clean power sources if they choose to own the microgrid, and if appropriate, to have conversations about SCPA owning or operating generating and storage resources.

- Requires the agreement between SCPA and PG&E that this approval in no way sets precedent for future policies, regulations, fees, tariffs, changes to SCPA’s eligible customers, changes in SCPA’s right or obligation to serve customers, or any other related matter.
This recommendation is tailored to get experience working with PG&E on microgrids, and take the time necessary to develop proposed solutions to all of the important questions this work raises.