



**AGENDA  
COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING  
THURSDAY, JULY 16, 2026  
1:00 P.M.**

---

EXCEPT AS PERMITTED BY GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54953.8.3, MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE MAY PARTICIPATE IN THE JULY 16, 2026, MEETING AT THE LOCATION SHOWN BELOW.

**SONOMA CLEAN POWER BUSINESS OFFICE  
431 E STREET  
SANTA ROSA, CA 95404**

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC MAY PARTICIPATE IN THE MEETING AT THE ABOVE PHYSICAL LOCATION OR VIEW REMOTELY THROUGH:

- Webinar link: <https://us06web.zoom.us/j/89591222887>
  - Telephone number: 1 (669) 444-9171
  - Meeting ID: 895 9122 2887

How to Submit Public Comment:

Comments may be provided in person at the physical meeting location. Comments may be submitted in writing to [meetings@sonomacleanpower.org](mailto:meetings@sonomacleanpower.org). For detailed public comment instructions, [please visit this page](#). Please note that live remote public comment will not be taken unless required by Government Code section 54953.8.3. If required, it will be announced by the Chair. Members of the public should attend in person or provide written comment to ensure they can provide public comment.

For written comments, state the agenda item number that you are commenting on and limited to 300 words. Written comments received prior to the meeting and/or the agenda item you wish to comment on will be read into the record up to 300 words.

*DISABLED ACCOMMODATION: If you have a disability which requires an accommodation or an alternative format, please contact the Clerk of the Board at (707) 757-9417, or by email at [meetings@sonomacleanpower.org](mailto:meetings@sonomacleanpower.org) as soon as possible to ensure arrangements for accommodation.*

*For further clarification on any of the items listed please contact (855) 202-2139 and staff will be happy to assist.*

*Staff recommendations are guidelines to the Committee. On any item, the Committee may take action which varies from that recommended by staff.*

**CALL TO ORDER** (Any private remote meeting attendance will be noticed or approved at this time)

### **COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE CONSENT CALENDAR**

1. Approve May 21, 2026, Draft Community Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes (Staff Recommendation: Approve) **pg. 5**
2. Receive Monthly Financial Report (Staff Recommendation: Receive and File) **pg. 9**
3. Receive Geothermal Opportunity Zone Update (Staff Recommendation: Receive and File) **pg. 21**

### **COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE REGULAR CALENDAR**

4. Receive Internal Operations Report and Provide Feedback as Appropriate (Staff Recommendation: Receive and File) **pg. 25**
5. Receive Legislative and Regulatory Updates and Provide Feedback as Appropriate (Staff Recommendation: Receive and File) **pg. 27**
6. Recommend the Board of Directors Approve Proposed Generation Rate Reductions Effective September 1, 2026 (Staff Recommendation: Approve) **pg. 31**
7. Approve and Delegate Authority to the Chief Executive Officer or His Designee to Execute a Three-Year Agreement with Ecology Action in the Amount Not-to-Exceed of \$766,000 for EV Charging Technical Assistance Services (Staff Recommendation: Approve) **pg. 35**

### **COMMITTEE MEMBER ANNOUNCEMENTS**

### **PUBLIC COMMENT ON MATTERS NOT LISTED ON THE AGENDA**

(Comments are restricted to matters within the Committee's jurisdiction. Please be brief and limit spoken comments to three minutes, or 300 words if written.)

### **ADJOURN**

## COMMONLY USED ACRONYMS AND TERMS

CAC	Community Advisory Committee
CAISO	California Independent Systems Operator – the grid operator
CCA	Community Choice Aggregator – a community-owned public power provider
CEC	California Energy Commission
CleanStart	SCP’s default power service
CPUC	California Public Utilities Commission
DER	Distributed Energy Resource
ERRA	Energy Resource Recovery Account – one of PG&E’s rate cases at the CPUC
EverGreen	SCP’s 100% renewable, 100% local energy service, and the first service in the United States providing renewable power every hour of every day.
Geothermal	A locally available, low-carbon baseload renewable resource
GHG	Greenhouse gas
GRC	General Rate Case – one of PG&E’s rate cases at the CPUC
GridSavvy	GridSavvy Rewards are available to SCP customers for reducing household energy use to help California increase power reliability.
IOU	Investor-Owned Utility - for-profit distribution utilities like PG&E
IRP	Integrated Resource Plan – balancing energy needs with energy resources
JPA	Joint Powers Authority
MW	Megawatt is a unit of power and measures how fast energy is being used or produced at one moment.
MWh	Megawatt-hour is a unit of energy and measures how much energy is used or produced over time.
NEM	Net Energy Metering. NEM is a billing mechanism that credits solar energy system owners for the electricity they add to the grid.
PCIA	Power Charge Indifference Adjustment – a fee charged by PG&E to all electric customers to ensure PG&E can pay for excess power supply contracts that it no longer needs.
RA	Resource Adequacy – a required form of capacity that helps ensure there are sufficient power resources available when needed.
RPS	Renewables Portfolio Standard refers to certain kinds of renewable energy which qualify to meet state requirements, including wind, solar, geothermal.
SCP	Sonoma Clean Power
TOU	Time of Use, used to refer to rates that differ by time of day

Page intentionally left blank for double-sided printing



**DRAFT MEETING MINUTES  
COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING  
THURSDAY, May 21, 2026  
1:00 P.M.**

---

**CALL TO ORDER**

(1:01 p.m. - Video Time Stamp: 00:01:25)

Chair Lipp called the meeting to order.

Committee Members present: Chair Lipp, Vice Chair Heffler, Members Hollinshead, Soto, Wang, Young, Hagen, Morris and Baird. Members Pollard and Nicholls were absent.

Staff present: Geof Syphers, Chief Executive Officer; Michael Koszalka, Chief Operating Officer; Garth Salisbury, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Ryan Tracey, Chief Strategy Officer; Stephanie Reynolds, Director of internal Operations; Felicia Smith, Director of Customer Energy Solutions; Jamey Agathen, Commercial Accounts Specialist; Neal Reardon, Director of Policy; Sarah Smith, Clerk of the Board

**COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE CONSENT CALENDAR**

(1:02 p.m. - Video Time Stamp: 00:02:05)

1. Approve April 16, 2026, Draft Community Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes (Staff Recommendation: Approve)
2. Receive Monthly Financial Report (Staff Recommendation: Receive and File)
3. Recommend the Board of Directors Approve the Updated Customer Service Policy A.3 Customer Billing (formerly known as Customer Service Policy A.3 Noticing, Transfers, and Collections) and A.6 Emergency Consumer Protection Policy (Staff Recommendation: Approve)
4. Receive Geothermal Opportunity Zone Update (Staff Recommendation: Receive and File)

Public Comment: None

Motion to approve May 21, 2026, Community Advisory Committee Consent Calendar by Member Morris

Second: Vice Chair Heffler

Motion passed by roll call vote.

AYES: Lipp, Heffler, Hollinshead, Soto, Wang, Young, Hagen, Baird, Morris

ABSENT: Pollard and Nicholls

## **COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE REGULAR CALENDAR**

### 5. Receive Internal Operations Report and Provide Feedback as Appropriate

(1:04 p.m. – Video Time Stamp: 00:03:45)

Stephanie Reynolds, Director of internal Operations, introduced Felicia Smith, Director of Customer Energy Solutions who provided an update on a recent increase to SCP's heat pump water heater rebate. Jamey Agathen, Commercial Accounts Specialist, shared results on SCP's accounts rate analysis which was targeted towards business accounts in Sonoma and Mendocino.

Public Comment: None

### 6. Receive Legislative and Regulatory Updates and Provide Feedback as Appropriate

(1:28 p.m. – Video Time Stamp: 00:28:23)

Neal Reardon, Director of Policy, provided an update on the Power Charge Indifference Adjustment (PCIA) proceedings. Track 2, which addresses customer credits for renewable energy investments, is nearing completion and pending a proposed decision from the judge. The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) is launching Track 3 to consider broader changes to the charge's methodology; SCP, with CalCCA, is advocating for greater transparency and reform. The judge has scheduled workshops on June 8, 9, and 15 to gather input on the scope of this next phase, which Director Reardon will be attending.

Additionally, Director Reardon reported that SCP's sponsored bills AB 2111 (Papan) and AB 2369 (Rogers) have passed floor votes and are now on the suspense file pending budget consideration. Additionally, CalCCA-sponsored bills AB 1761 (improving PCIA transparency) and SB 1138 (enhancing resource adequacy flexibility) are advancing, with the latter potentially delivering significant customer savings, while efforts to secure funding for a geothermal initiative continue despite exclusion from the Governor's May budget revision.

Public Comment: None

7. Recommend the Board of Directors Adopt Staff's Preferred Portfolio for the 2026 Integrated Resource Plan Filing

(1:38 p.m. – Video Time Stamp: 01:05:30)

Ryan Tracey, Chief Strategy Officer, gave a presentation on SCP's preferred portfolio for the 2026 Integrated Resource Plan filing.

Public Comment: None

Motion to Recommend the Board of Directors Adopt Staff's Preferred Portfolio for the 2026 Integrated Resource Plan Filing

Second: Vice Chair Heffler

Motion passed by roll call vote.

AYES: Lipp, Heffler, Hollinshead, Soto, Wang, Young, Hagen, Baird, Morris

ABSENT: Pollard and Nicholls

**COMMITTEE MEMBER ANNOUNCEMENTS**

(2:57 p.m. - Video Time Stamp: 01:57:38)

None.

**PUBLIC COMMENT ON MATTERS NOT LISTED ON THE AGENDA**

(2:58 p.m. - Video Time Stamp: 01:57:46)

Public Comment: None

**ADJOURN**

(2:58 p.m. - Video Time Stamp: 01:58:07)

The meeting was adjourned by unanimous consent.

Page intentionally left blank for double-sided printing



## Staff Report – Item 02

---

**To:** Sonoma Clean Power Authority Community Advisory Committee

**From:** Garth Salisbury, Chief Financial Officer & Treasurer  
Chris Golik, Senior Finance Manager  
Jennifer Rafferty, Financial Analyst

**Issue:** Receive Monthly Financial Report

**Date:** July 16, 2026

---

### Monthly Financial Report

The Financial Report is to inform the Community Advisory Committee (Committee) of monthly financial results and includes a summary of investments and investment activity in SCP's portfolio. The Investment Report and associated attachments are to inform the Committee pursuant to the requirements of SCP's Financial Policy B.5 Investments and Government Code Section 53607. This is an informational item only.

### Monthly Compiled Financial Statements (April 30, 2026)

The year-to-date change in fund balance is more than projections by approximately \$4,660,000. Year-to-date revenue from electricity sales is less than budget by approximately 2% and cost of energy is under budget projections by approximately 4%. Year-to-date electricity sales reached \$191,467,000.

SCP maintains a balanced portfolio by procuring electricity from multiple sources. Net position reached a positive \$287,796,000. Approximately \$324,252,000 is set aside for operating reserves as of June 30, 2025.

Other operating expenses continued near or slightly below planned levels for the year.

### Budgetary Comparison Schedule (April 30, 2026)

The accompanying budgetary comparison includes the 2025/26 Amended Budget approved by the Board of Directors.

The budget is formatted to make comparisons for both the annual and the year-to-date perspective. The first column, 2025/26 YTD Amended Budget, allocates the Board approved

annual budget at expected levels throughout the year with consideration for the timing of additional customers, usage volumes, staffing needs etc. This column represents our best estimates, and this granular approach was not part of the Board approved budget.

Revenue from electricity sales to customers is under budget by less than approximately 2% at the end of the reporting period.

The cost of electricity was less than the budget-to-date by approximately 4%. Variation in this account is typically due to fluctuating market cost of energy on open position purchases as well as supplier delivery delays.

Major operating categories of Data Management fees and PG&E Service fees are based on the customer account totals.

In addition to the items mentioned above, SCP continues its trend of remaining near or under budget for most of its operating expenses.

### **Monthly Investment Report**

This report is to verify and report in writing to the Committee regarding the responsibilities designated to the SCP Treasurer pursuant to SCP Financial Policy B.5 Investments. The Investment Policy was amended in 2024 expanding the definition of Permitted Investments, adding several investment diversification requirements, best practices and requiring additional reporting requirements to the Committee and stakeholders as follows.

#### Monthly Obligation to Report on New Investment Transactions

Government Code Section 53607 and SCP's Investment Policy require SCP to report to the Committee and stakeholders any investment transactions (defined as purchases, sales, or exchanges of securities) made during the month as soon as is practicable after the end of the month. Given the scheduling of the SCP's Committee meetings during the third week of the month, the investment report will indicate investment transactions that occurred the prior month (June 2026).

SCP currently maintains bank accounts and investments at River City Bank (RCB), Summit State Bank, the State of California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF), USBank, and J.P. Morgan. Active individual securities are held at RCB, USBank and J.P. Morgan. Staff will provide Statements of Investments as required throughout the year.

## **Reportable Activities**

### USBank

In November of 2024, the Board approved amendments to SCP Investment Policy as recommended by SCP's investment advisor, Chandler Asset Management (CAM). As of June 30th, CAM managed about \$84 million of SCP's reserves. All investments directed by CAM are held at SCP's custodian, USBank. All investments held as of June 30, 2026, at USBank appear as Attachment 3 with new holdings purchased in June highlighted. USBank transaction details for the month of June, including sales and maturities of securities, are in Attachment 4. Additionally, the June 2026 account statement prepared by CAM is in Attachment 5.

### River City Bank

A detailed statement of the investments held at River City Bank as of June 30, 2026, appears as Attachment 6. There were no investment transactions in the month of June at River City Bank.

### State of California Local Agency Investment Fund

The LAIF investment balance as of June 30, 2026, appears as Attachment 7.

### J.P. Morgan

The J.P. Morgan investment balance as of June 30, 2026, appears as Attachment 8.

## **Agency Goals**

SCP's Financial Report, and more broadly, its financial decisions, directly support two of the Agency's 2026 goals.

1. Recommend and take all necessary actions to protect customers from rate shock in 2026.
2. Maintain SCP's 'A' credit rating to support cost-effective power procurement.

## **Attachments**

- Attachment 1 – April 2026 Financial Statements
- Attachment 2 – April 2026 Budgetary Statement
- Attachment 3 – June 2026 Statement of Investments Held at USBank, available at [this link](#) or by request to the Clerk of the Board

- Attachment 4 – June 2026 Statement of Transactions at USBank, available at [this link](#) or by request to the Clerk of the Board
- Attachment 5 – June 2026 USBank Account Statement prepared by CAM, available at [this link](#) or by request to the Clerk of the Board
- Attachment 6 – June 2026 Statement of Investments Held at River City Bank, available at [this link](#) or by request to the Clerk of the Board
- Attachment 7 – June 2026 Statement of Investments Held at the Local Agency Investment Fund, available at [this link](#) or by request to the Clerk of the Board
- Attachment 8 – June 2026 Statement of Investments Held at J.P. Morgan, available at [this link](#) or by request to the Clerk of the Board



## ACCOUNTANTS' COMPILATION REPORT

Management  
Sonoma Clean Power Authority

Management is responsible for the accompanying financial statements of Sonoma Clean Power Authority (a California Joint Powers Authority) which comprise the statement of net position as of April 30, 2026, and the related statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position, and the statement of cash flows for the ten months then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have performed a compilation engagement in accordance with Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services promulgated by the Accounting and Review Services Committee of the AICPA. We did not audit or review the accompanying statements nor were we required to perform any procedures to verify the accuracy or completeness of the information provided by management. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion, conclusion, nor provide any assurance on these financial statements.

Management has elected to omit substantially all of the note disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America in these interim financial statements. Sonoma Clean Power Authority's annual audited financial statements include the note disclosures omitted from these interim statements. If the omitted disclosures were included in these financial statements, they might influence the user's conclusions about the Authority's financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. Accordingly, these financial statements are not designed for those who are not informed about such matters.

We are not independent with respect to the Authority because we performed certain accounting services that impaired our independence.

*Maher Accountancy*

San Rafael, CA  
June 4, 2026

# SONOMA CLEAN POWER AUTHORITY

## STATEMENT OF NET POSITION

As of April 30, 2026

### ASSETS

Current assets	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 229,579,772
Accounts receivable, net of allowance	6,935,396
Other receivables	3,176,019
Accrued revenue	6,075,870
Prepaid expenses	840,609
Loan receivable	27,964
Deposits	1,507,759
Investments	96,192,108
Total current assets	<u>344,335,497</u>
Noncurrent assets	
Investments	82,019,136
Loan receivable	3,900,478
Other receivables	771,713
Deposits	16,000
Capital assets, net of depreciation	23,316,533
Total noncurrent assets	<u>110,023,860</u>
Total assets	<u>454,359,357</u>

### LIABILITIES

Current liabilities	
Accrued cost of electricity	24,053,127
Accounts payable	969,632
Other accrued liabilities	1,997,262
User taxes and energy surcharges due to other governments	479,516
Supplier security deposits	510,000
Total current liabilities	<u>28,009,537</u>
Noncurrent liabilities	
Supplier security deposits	1,053,421
Total liabilities	<u>29,062,958</u>

### DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES

Rate stabilization fund	<u>137,500,000</u>
-------------------------	--------------------

### NET POSITION

Investment in capital assets	23,316,533
Unrestricted	264,479,866
Total net position	<u>\$ 287,796,399</u>

**SONOMA CLEAN POWER AUTHORITY**  
**STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES**  
**AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION**  
**Ten Months Ended April 30, 2026**

**OPERATING REVENUES**

Electricity sales, net	\$ 188,878,569
Evergreen electricity premium	2,583,589
Liquidated damages	15,036,818
Grant revenue	439,947
Total operating revenues	<u>206,938,923</u>

**OPERATING EXPENSES**

Cost of electricity	137,978,276
Contract services	7,903,573
Staff compensation	10,452,076
Program rebates and incentives	1,999,200
Other operating expenses	2,504,123
Depreciation	1,016,280
Total operating expenses	<u>161,853,528</u>
Operating income	<u>45,085,395</u>

**NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES)**

Investment income	13,113,799
Charitable contribution	(250,000)
Nonoperating revenues (expenses), net	<u>12,863,799</u>

**CHANGE IN NET POSITION**

	57,949,194
Net position at beginning of year	229,847,205
Net position at end of period	<u><u>\$ 287,796,399</u></u>

# SONOMA CLEAN POWER AUTHORITY

## STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS Ten Months Ended April 30, 2026

### CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES

Receipts from customers	\$ 208,416,393
Receipts from grantors	301,811
Receipts of security deposits and liquidated damages revenue	19,685,554
Receipts from wholesale sales and other operating activities	28,788,539
Payments to electricity suppliers	(159,180,195)
Payments for other goods and services	(11,964,226)
Payments for staff compensation	(10,353,386)
Payments for program rebates and incentives	(1,765,491)
Payments of taxes and surcharges to other governments	(2,788,131)
Deposits and collateral paid	(2,903,400)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	<u>68,237,468</u>

### CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Purchases of capital assets	<u>(6,674,786)</u>
-----------------------------	--------------------

### CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES

Investment income received	10,448,427
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments	16,405,809
Loan principal received	3,541
Purchase of investments	(31,824,670)
Loan issued	(3,931,983)
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities	<u>(8,898,876)</u>

Net change in cash and cash equivalents	52,663,806
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	176,915,966
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 229,579,772</u>

### NONCASH INVESTING ACTIVITIES

Change in fair value of investments	\$ 2,999,144
Change in interest receivable	\$ (333,772)

**SONOMA CLEAN POWER AUTHORITY**

**STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS**

**(Continued)**

**Ten Months Ended April 30, 2026**

**RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING INCOME (LOSS) TO NET  
CASH PROVIDED (USED) BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES**

Operating income (loss)	\$ 45,085,395
Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net cash provided (used) by operating activities:	
Depreciation expense	1,016,280
Charitable contributions considered an operating activity for cash flow purposes only	(250,000)
(Increase) decrease in:	
Accounts receivable, net of allowance	7,776,672
Other receivables	2,955,352
Accrued revenue	6,554,095
Prepaid expenses	684,396
Deposits	5,049,020
Increase (decrease) in:	
Accrued cost of electricity	6,801,869
Accounts payable	(696,039)
Other accrued liabilities	(2,339,156)
User taxes due to other governments	(164,663)
Supplier security deposits	(4,235,753)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities:	<u>\$ 68,237,468</u>



## ACCOUNTANTS' COMPILATION REPORT

Board of Directors  
Sonoma Clean Power Authority

Management is responsible for the accompanying Budgetary Comparison Schedule for the Operating Fund of Sonoma Clean Power Authority (a California Joint Powers Authority) for the ten months ended April 30, 2026, and for determining that the budgetary basis of accounting is an acceptable financial reporting framework. We have performed a compilation engagement in accordance with Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services promulgated by the Accounting and Review Services Committee of the AICPA. We did not audit or review the accompanying statement nor were we required to perform any procedures to verify the accuracy or completeness of the information provided by management. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion, a conclusion, nor provide any assurance on this special purpose budgetary comparison statement.

The special purpose statement is prepared in accordance with the budgetary basis of accounting, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. This report is intended for the information of the Board of Directors of Sonoma Clean Power Authority.

Management has elected to omit substantially all of the note disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America in these interim financial statements. Sonoma Clean Power Authority's annual audited financial statements include the note disclosures omitted from these interim statements. If the omitted disclosures were included in these financial statements, they might influence the user's conclusions about the Authority's financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. Accordingly, these financial statements are not designed for those who are not informed about such matters.

We are not independent with respect to the Authority because we performed certain accounting services that impaired our independence.

*Maher Accountancy*

San Rafael, CA  
June 4, 2026

**SONOMA CLEAN POWER AUTHORITY  
BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - OPERATING FUND  
Ten Months Ended April 30, 2026**

	2025/26 YTD Amended Budget	2025/26 YTD Actual	2025/26 YTD Amended Budget Variance (Under) Over	2025/26 YTD Actual / Amended Budget %	2025/26 Amended Budget	2025/26 Amended Budget Remaining
<b>REVENUE AND OTHER SOURCES:</b>						
Electricity (net of allowance) *	\$ 192,061,769	\$ 188,883,746	\$ (3,178,023)	98%	\$ 221,379,000	\$ 32,495,254
Evergreen Premium (net of allowance)	2,632,191	2,583,589	(48,602)	98%	3,150,000	566,411
CEC Grant Proceeds	694,084	439,947	(254,137)	63%	863,000	423,053
Investment returns	13,594,151	13,113,799	(480,352)	96%	15,994,000	2,880,201
Total revenue and other sources	208,982,195	205,021,081	(3,961,114)	98%	241,386,000	36,364,919
<b>EXPENDITURES AND OTHER USES:</b>						
<b>CURRENT EXPENDITURES</b>						
Cost of energy and scheduling	127,979,158	122,946,636	(5,032,522)	96%	162,463,000	39,516,364
Data management	2,798,298	2,776,295	(22,003)	99%	3,359,000	582,705
Service fees- PG&E	825,949	830,035	4,086	100%	990,000	159,965
Personnel	10,532,328	10,452,076	(80,252)	99%	12,781,000	2,328,924
Marketing & communications	3,512,149	2,428,367	(1,083,782)	69%	4,415,000	1,986,633
Customer service	181,608	144,668	(36,940)	80%	220,000	75,332
General and administration	1,853,482	1,628,869	(224,613)	88%	2,309,000	680,131
Legal	460,742	404,107	(56,635)	88%	525,000	120,893
Regulatory and compliance	250,284	120,902	(129,382)	48%	360,000	239,098
Accounting	289,600	289,200	(400)	100%	340,000	50,800
Legislative	179,333	190,000	10,667	106%	220,000	30,000
Other consultants	469,715	383,096	(86,619)	82%	535,000	151,904
Industry memberships and dues	755,939	875,236	119,297	116%	888,000	12,764
Program implementation	4,820,786	2,586,120	(2,234,666)	54%	6,481,000	3,894,880
Total current expenditures	154,909,371	146,055,607	(8,853,764)	94%	195,886,000	49,830,393
<b>OTHER USES</b>						
Capital outlay	6,570,537	6,802,691	232,154	104%	7,022,000	219,309
Total expenditures, other uses	161,479,908	152,858,298	(8,621,610)	95%	202,908,000	50,049,702
Net increase (decrease) in available fund balance	\$ 47,502,287	\$ 52,162,783	\$ 4,660,496		\$ 38,478,000	\$ (13,684,783)
<i>* Represents sales of approximately 1,697,000 MWh for 2025/26 YTD actual.</i>						
<b>RESERVES</b>	<b>Balance - as of June 30, 2025</b>	<b>Long-Term Targeted</b>	<b>% of Long-Term Target</b>			
Reserves and Rate Stabilization Funds	\$ 324,252,000	\$ 267,909,000	121%			

**SONOMA CLEAN POWER AUTHORITY**  
**BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - OPERATING FUND (CONTINUED)**  
**RECONCILIATION OF NET INCREASE IN AVAILABLE FUND BALANCE**  
**TO CHANGE IN NET POSITION**  
**Ten Months Ended April 30, 2026**

Net increase (decrease) in available fund balance per budgetary comparison schedule:	\$ 52,162,783
Adjustments needed to reconcile to the changes in net position in the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position:	
Subtract depreciation expense	(1,016,280)
Add back capital asset acquisitions	<u>6,802,691</u>
Change in net position	<u><u>\$ 57,949,194</u></u>



## Staff Report – Item 03

---

**To:** Sonoma Clean Power Authority Community Advisory Committee

**From:** Ryan Tracey, Chief Strategy Officer  
Geof Syphers, Chief Executive Officer  
Miles Horton, Legislative Policy & Community Engagement Manager  
Claudia Sisomphou, Director of Community & Governmental Relations

**Issue:** Receive Geothermal Opportunity Zone Update

**Date:** July 16, 2026

---

### Background

The Geothermal Opportunity Zone (GeoZone) is SCP’s initiative to secure affordable, reliable clean energy for our customers by building 600 megawatts of new geothermal power capacity in Sonoma and Mendocino Counties. This will eventually enable SCP to phase out its dependence on natural gas power plants for reliability. The Community Advisory Committee (Committee) meetings are a regularly scheduled public forum for the community to receive updates and provide input on the GeoZone. The updates provided to the Committee each month, and minutes from any discussion are posted on SCP’s website at <https://sonomacleanpower.org/geozone-public-updates>. Staff incorporate any feedback received from the Committee presentation into the monthly updates to the Board of Directors. Additional background on the GeoZone can be found on the GeoZone webpage at <https://sonomacleanpower.org/geozone>.

### Partner Updates

Staff target scheduling over a dozen “GeoZone 2.0 pitch” meetings with prospective GeoZone partners through the month of July. As of mid-July, staff have completed briefings with eight geothermal developers. In all the meetings thus far, staff have been pleased to see significant enthusiasm from industry on the opportunity of pursuing GeoZone partnerships. SCP’s recent success in securing \$10 million in state funding for early geothermal exploration and appraisal have been especially helpful in demonstrating the type of support SCP can deliver. In August, staff will be asking interested developers to participate in workshop meetings to discuss specific areas of

alignment and opportunities for coordination. Any new GeoZone partnerships that arise from these workshop discussions will be subject to Board approval.

There are no significant updates to share on SCP's GeoZone partnership with Eavor. Eavor's next milestones are a comprehensive assessment of strategies for securing site control by the end of 2026 and obtaining commitment from a third-party project investor by March 31, 2027. At the end of May, Eavor released a detailed technical update on the performance of its project in Geretsried, Germany ([link here](#)). Performance from the project validates the thermodynamic modeling for their system but is much lower than expected due to execution challenges. Eavor has captured lessons learned from the project and evolved its technology roadmap to work towards scalable and economic development in the GeoZone.

### **Integrated Energy Policy Report**

The California Energy Commission (CEC) held two all-day workshops on in-state geothermal development as part of its 2026 Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR): one on June 19<sup>th</sup> and another on July 9<sup>th</sup>. SCP was invited to speak at the June 19<sup>th</sup> IEPR workshop to discuss its perspective on geothermal development as a load-serving entity, including the role geothermal plays in the state's Integrated Resource Plan and infrastructure needs ([link to presentation](#)). Other speakers included energy system modelers, tribal entities, experts in local and community engagement, permitting agencies, and developers. SCP will be submitting comments on the workshop to steer the IEPR report towards identifying policy opportunities that are aligned with the needs SCP has identified through its work with industry in the GeoZone and California Community Power's (CC Power) geothermal partnerships.

### **California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Busbar Mapping**

In June, the CPUC provided draft updates to its methodology for busbar mapping for the 2027-2028 transmission plan. The CPUC's busbar mapping methodology includes the assumptions and process its staff uses for representing the location of resources needed to meet the state's future electricity system needs. The CPUC's busbar mapping directly informs the transmission upgrades the California Independent System Operator (CAISO) evaluates in its annual transmission planning process (TPP). In last year's CPUC resource plan, SCP was pleased to see a significant increase in the scale of geothermal resources and successfully advocated for the CPUC to map more resources in-state. In a frustrating reversal, the staff's June update is proposing representing no new geothermal in California for a period of ten years. Meanwhile, staff are including a prospective new transmission line to Utah that they aggressively assume could be online by 2032 to deliver 2 gigawatts (GW) of geothermal capacity. Given that

tax credits for geothermal are set to phase-out starting in 2034, the likely result of these modeling changes is that the state’s geothermal needs will be predominately met by out-of-state resources—which are assumed to have access to both transmission and tax credits.

SCP both met with IRP staff and submitted well-documented comments in reply to the proposal to push back on the CPUC’s proposed modeling adjustments. SCP also coordinated with a coalition of other stakeholders in amplifying its opposition to the proposed changes. Meanwhile, SCP plans on using the CPUC’s proposal for advocacy in Sacramento to demonstrate the urgency and need for additional supportive policies, such as the proposed CEQA exemption for exploratory drilling.

### **Proactive Planning Sonoma-Lake-Mendocino Geothermal Planning Grant**

SCP, Sonoma County, and Mendocino County were awarded a grant to support proactive regional planning for geothermal development by the CEC. A key activity in July for the grant is documenting best practices for geothermal permitting from other agencies with active geothermal development. The grant team has organized meetings with the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Imperial County, and state agencies in Nevada and Utah. These meetings have been incredibly informative in guiding not only opportunities for local planning agencies but also guiding priorities for improving permitting support through SCP’s advocacy in the legislature and at state agencies.

### **Agency Goals**

The GeoZone activities are directly related to the 2026 agency goal to continue progress on the GeoZone, which SCP sees as a key initiative in supporting deployment of technology that will be critical to meeting long-term climate goals, addressing affordability, and providing reliability while creating local economic opportunities.

### **Attachments**

- None.

Page intentionally left blank for double-sided printing



## Staff Report – Item 04

---

**To:** Sonoma Clean Power Authority Community Advisory Committee  
**From:** Stephanie Reynolds, Director of Internal Operations  
**Issue:** Receive Internal Operations Report and Provide Feedback as Appropriate  
**Date:** July 16, 2026

---

### Customer Energy Solutions

#### *SCP Home Thermostat Upgrade – Media Coverage*

In early June, SCP issued a press release announcing the launch of SCP Home Thermostat Upgrade, a new offer providing free smart thermostats and bill credits to income-qualified customers. Both The Community Voice and Utility Dive covered the announcement, highlighting the funding is covered by a \$4.99 million grant from the California Energy Commission.

### Upcoming Meetings

- Board of Directors – August 6, 2026
- Community Advisory Committee – August 20, 2026 (tentative)
- Board of Directors – September 3, 2026 (tentative)
- Community Advisory Committee – September 17 2026

### Agency Goals

This Internal Operations update, which is provided monthly to the Board of Directors and Community Advisory Committee provides background on how all SCP staff support several Agency Goals, such as taking actions to protect customers, working on outreach to underrepresented communities, and maintaining a strong credit rating. We work to support our Board, Committee and all internal staff in their various work.

### Attachments

- None.

Page intentionally left blank for double-sided printing



## Staff Report – Item 05

---

**To:** Sonoma Clean Power Authority Community Advisory Committee

**From:** Neal Reardon, Director of Policy  
Miles Horton, Legislative Policy and Community Engagement Manager  
Geof Syphers, Chief Executive Officer

**Issue:** Receive Legislative and Regulatory Updates and Provide Feedback as Appropriate

**Date:** July 16, 2026

---

### Requested Action

Receive legislative and regulatory updates and provide feedback as appropriate.

### Regulatory Updates

#### SCP Advocates for Equitable Customer Treatment in Power Charge Indifference Adjustment Proceeding

On May 29<sup>th</sup>, Sonoma Clean Power staff attended an ex parte meeting with Commissioner Baker’s Office to discuss the scope of the upcoming 3<sup>rd</sup> Track of the Power Charge Indifference Adjustment (“PCIA”) proceeding. As background, CalCCA had previously advocated that the Commission undertake a broad re-evaluation of this methodology, which results in multi-million-dollar swings in customer rates every year. Utilities, largely satisfied with the current framework, argued for limiting the proceeding to considering more ministerial changes to the existing methodology. The assigned administrative law judge on this proceeding announced three public planning workshops amongst interested parties on June 8<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup>, and 15<sup>th</sup> to discuss what should be included in scope. Following the judge’s announcement, Commissioner Baker’s Office invited stakeholders to present recommendations to his staff on how broad the scope should be and what should be included. At the meeting SCP staff presented alongside CalCCA staff and advocated that the PCIA requires reform to protect all customers and reduce the volatility of their bills.

Following the three days of planning workshops, the administrative law judge issued a Ruling granting stakeholders an opportunity to summarize their recommendations for what should be included in the scope of upcoming PCIA reforms. Comments, limited to 15 pages, were submitted on July 9<sup>th</sup>.

### 3<sup>rd</sup> District Court of Appeals Denies CalCCA's Petition for Writ of Review

On June 25<sup>th</sup>, the 3<sup>rd</sup> District Court of Appeals summarily denied CalCCA's Petition for Writ of Review of the CPUC's decision applying the Resource Adequacy Market Price Benchmark changes retroactively to the 2025 true-up. As background, this retroactive change reduced the financial credit owed to CCA customers by the utilities by blending in older, lower-priced contracts with current market price contracts. The result was a statewide cost shift of several hundred million dollars from CCA customers to IOU bundled customers. While the court provided no reasoning for their denial, they are historically reluctant to overturn agency decisions without explicit evidence that agency violated their own process or the law. On July 6<sup>th</sup>, CalCCA appealed this decision with the State Supreme Court.

### CPUC Approves Framework for Senate Bill 1221 Neighborhood Decarbonization Pilot Projects

On July 2<sup>nd</sup>, the CPUC approved a Proposed Decision establishing the application process for gas corporations proposing neighborhood decarbonization projects. In implementing SB 1221, the Decision authorizes – but does not require – gas corporations to file applications for pilot projects. A total of 30 voluntary projects will be allocated across PG&E, SDG&E, Southern California Gas Company, and Southwest Gas Corporation based on their respective share of demand. Each pilot must both enable decommissioning of gas infrastructure while providing net cost savings. There is no restriction on the location or size of pilot areas, though at least 67 percent of property owners within the area must consent.

Gas corporations are required to replace customers' existing NG appliances with electric ones with no cost and may allow for customers to choose from a menu of appliance options. Additional infrastructure to support all-electric service (e.g., energy efficiency, smart devices, more efficient HVAC) may be provided in conjunction with the core fuel-switching envisioned in the pilots. To holistically reduce costs, corporations are required to leverage any relevant programs or applicable funding sources. Engagement with local entities such as CCAs is required, and the PD requires applications to document outreach efforts and resulting responses. The first round of applications – of which 7 will be in PG&E territory – are due April 1<sup>st</sup>, 2027.

### **Legislative Updates**

Sonoma Clean Power staff are continuing to work on our four sponsored legislative efforts this year. We received very good news in recent weeks that the state of California is going to allocate \$10 million dollars of General Fund monies to the "California FORGE" concept described below. This is a very exciting development at a time when the state is dealing with a multi-billion-dollar structural deficit and is cutting, not adding, spending in many areas. This money could fund 1-2 geothermal exploration wells in high-potential areas of the state. Staff will continue to make the case for the remaining \$30 million of funding.

Our four ongoing efforts are:

- Another attempt to exempt geothermal exploration wells meeting a high standard of environmental, labor, and tribal resource and cultural protections from review under the California Environmental Quality Act. Federal policy already exempts these types of wells from environmental review under the National Environmental Policy Act, putting California at a significant competitive disadvantage. This bill is similar to AB 527, which was vetoed last year. (Assemblymember Diane Papan has also introduced AB 2234, which makes modest but beneficial changes to the definition of “geothermal exploration” that are helpful to in-state development with or without this effort.)
- Securing \$40 million in state funding for new geothermal exploration wells in areas of California that have high potential for next-generation geothermal development, likely including Sonoma and Mendocino Counties. The goal would be to develop better geologic data for these areas and lower the risk of future geothermal projects, similar to how the federal government’s “Utah FORGE” project galvanized new development in that region.
- AB 2111 (Papan), which aims to revamp the transmission planning process in California to produce a more flexible, adaptable system that can lower ratepayer costs over the long term and allow faster interconnection of new renewable energy resources. This is based off the research that SCP and Peninsula Clean Energy sponsored through Princeton University’s ZERO Lab. At the time of writing, this legislation is awaiting a vote on the “suspense file” in the Senate Appropriations Committee, which will come sometime in August. If it passes that stage, it will then receive final votes on both the Senate and Assembly floors before going to the Governor’s desk in September for signature or veto.
- AB 2369 (Rogers), which updates the transmission planning process to allow planners to get more value out of so-called “energy-only” resources already on the system that are not fully deliverable. The goal is to drive planning based on current and proposed resources that are (or will be) connected to the grid and get more value out of the grid that we have today in the process. At the time of writing, this bill is also on the Senate Appropriations Committee “suspense file.”

Both AB 2111 and AB 2369 are co-sponsored by the Abundance Network. AB 2111 is also co-sponsored by Peninsula Clean Energy.

## Attachments

- None.

Page intentionally left blank for double-sided printing



## Staff Report – Item 06

---

**To:** Sonoma Clean Power Authority Community Advisory Committee

**From:** Geof Syphers, Chief Executive Officer  
Garth Salisbury, Chief Financial Officer & Treasurer  
Chris Golik, Senior Finance Manager

**Issue:** Recommend the Board of Directors Approve Proposed Generation Rate Reductions Effective September 1, 2026

**Date:** July 16, 2026

---

### Recommended Action

Recommend the Board approve the proposed generation rate reductions shown in Attachment 1, effective September 1, 2026. The proposed rates are set so that SCP customer total bills are targeted to be equal to PG&E's bundled service total bills.

### Background

SCP's goals with customer rate setting include:

- Protect customers from sudden large changes in rates;
- Minimize rate changes in a given year to provide stability for customers;
- Save customers money when we can, while still achieving progress on SCP's climate goals;
- Maintain long-term cash reserves to 1) sustain SCP's investment-grade credit rating, 2) ensure ability to secure affordable sources of energy and 3) seek to build and sustain the target balance of long-term cash reserves. Ample cash reserves allow SCP to provide adequate liquidity to survive extreme energy market conditions such as volatile energy prices or a significant increase in PG&E's fees.

### Recent Rate History

From its inception through early 2020, SCP consistently delivered lower customer bills than PG&E by setting electric generation rates sufficiently below PG&E's rates to more than offset

the Power Charge Indifference Adjustment (PCIA) that PG&E charges SCP customers. These lower rates generated tens of millions of dollars in customer bill savings since 2014.

Between May 2020 and March 2022, SCP customer bills were modestly higher than PG&E's bundled service bills, with premiums reaching up to 5% of total electric bills. SCP eliminated this premium in April 2022 and, beginning February 1, 2023, targeted customer bills approximately 5% below PG&E's bundled service. Total bill savings generally ranged from 3% to 7% through August 2025.

Effective September 1, 2025, SCP adjusted rates to target customer bills approximately 0.5% below PG&E in anticipation of a significant increase in PG&E's PCIA charges in 2026. SCP reduced rates substantially in January 2026 to target customer bills approximately 3% above PG&E's projected January rates. A subsequent adjustment in February 2026 corrected forecasting variances.

In addition to providing customer savings from 2022 through 2025, SCP strengthened its financial position by increasing its Rate Stabilization Fund and other reserves. These reserves provide flexibility to manage expected energy market volatility in 2026 and continued elevated PCIA costs in 2027 and beyond.

Staff projections are based on the best information currently available; however, the PCIA remains highly difficult to forecast. The fee is influenced by PG&E operational and procurement decisions that are outside SCP's control, including decisions regarding resource adequacy resources. In addition, PCIA calculation methodologies may change through regulatory proceedings, and the fee is highly sensitive to market prices for energy, capacity, and renewable energy credits, which fluctuate significantly with the commodity price of natural gas. Staff will continue to update the Committee and Board as new information becomes available.

## **Discussion**

The Rate Stabilization Fund consists of revenues deferred for use in later periods to mitigate rate increases, stabilize financial results, and maintain rates that remain competitive with PG&E. Maintaining a stable relationship between revenues and expenses is viewed favorably by counterparties and credit rating agencies and supports SCP's long-term financial strength.

The Rate Stabilization Fund has a balance of \$137.5 million as of June 30, 2025. Revenues minus expenses (net revenues) during the first half of the fiscal year (July–December 2025) totaled \$74 million. Despite significant rate reductions implemented in January and February 2026, which are returning approximately \$5 million per month to customers, SCP is still

projected to generate approximately \$46 million in net revenues for the full fiscal year that recently ended June 30, 2026.

After year-end results are finalized and audited, staff expect to return to the Committee and Board with a recommendation to defer 100% of the past fiscal year's net revenues into the Rate Stabilization Fund. Those funds would then be used to benefit customers through lower rates during the remainder of calendar 2026 and into 2027.

Although forecasts for PG&E generation rates and PCIA charges in 2027 remain uncertain, SCP's Fiscal Year 2025–26 financial performance has exceeded the assumptions reflected in the Revised Budget approved by the Board on March 5, 2026. Staff believe these favorable results create an opportunity to eliminate SCP's current rate premium relative to PG&E.

Reducing rates effective September 1, 2026, to target customer bills equal to PG&E's bundled service bills is expected to return an additional \$6 million to customers during calendar year 2026 compared with maintaining current rates.

### **Staff Recommendation**

Staff recommend implementing the rates shown in Attachment 1, effective September 1, 2026, such that:

- SCP customer total bills are targeted to be equal to PG&E bundled service total bills through December 31, 2026, based on PG&E rates in effect as of March 1, 2026.
- All rate classes are reset to provide the same percentage difference from PG&E bundled service total bills.

### **Agency Goals**

This proposed rate reduction directly supports the following Agency goal for 2026:

- Recommend and take all necessary actions to protect customers from rate shock in 2026.

### **Fiscal Impact**

Staff currently projects that the impact of reducing rates to equal to PG&E starting on September 1, 2026, will return approximately \$6 million more deferred revenue to SCP customers in calendar 2026 compared to not changing rates.

## Attachments

- Attachment 1 – Proposed SCP Rate Schedule Effective September 1, 2026, available at [this link](#) or by request to the Clerk of the Board



## Staff Report – Item 07

---

**To:** Sonoma Clean Power Authority Community Advisory Committee

**From:** Brant Arthur, Programs Manager  
Connor Wolf, Programs Specialist

**Issue:** Approve and Delegate Authority to the Chief Executive Officer or His Designee to Execute a Three-Year Agreement with Ecology Action in the Amount Not-to-Exceed of \$766,000 for EV Charging Technical Assistance Services

**Date:** July 16, 2026

---

### Recommendation

Staff requests Community Advisory Committee recommend the Board of Directors approve and delegate authority to the Chief Executive Officer or his designee to negotiate final terms and execute an agreement with Ecology Action for EV charging technical assistance services at commercial properties in Sonoma Clean Power (SCP) territory, for an initial term of three years from the date of execution, with a not-to-exceed amount of \$766,000.

### Background

On November 3, 2022, the Board of Directors directed staff to pursue a Workplace Electric Vehicle Charging Research Project by inviting local employers to host grid-enabled EV chargers. The goal was to determine how to deliver a cost-effective workplace charging program that supports grid reliability while prioritizing access for disadvantaged and low-to-moderate income (LMI) employees who cannot charge at home. Workplace charging shifts charging into daytime hours when solar generation is abundant and extends charging access to employees who live in apartments and rental housing.

Following a competitive RFP, the Board approved an agreement with Alternative Energy Systems Consulting, Inc. (AESC) in August 2023 to assess up to 10 workplace sites. The first phase (2023 to 2024) targeted 10 to 20 charging ports at larger sites, but cost, permitting, and corporate approval barriers slowed all sites except Straus Family

Creamery. This led to a 2025 pivot to a competitive application process for smaller, standardized four-charger installations, retaining the same rebate and technical assistance.

## **Discussion**

Across the two phases, SCP assessed 12 sites. Four are fully operational (Straus Family Creamery, Sonoma Academy, Jordan Winery, and Conservation Corps North Bay), and two more are in active development (Hopland Band of Pomo Indians and VCA Rohnert Park). The program has installed and energized 27 Level 2 ports, with 13 more expected from sites still in progress.

Every site exceeded 77% daytime charging (8 a.m. to 4 p.m.), compared to just 13% of energy used during daytime hours by customers charging at home. Workplace charging achieves this shift naturally, without scheduling or incentive payments used for managed charging programs.

In the employee surveys, 82% of respondents said workplace charging was important to them, and 43% said it would make them more likely to purchase an EV. Net cost to host sites after incentives ranged from \$0 to \$3,342.85 per charger. One remaining gap is EV rate awareness, as no participating site enrolled in the Business EV rate despite \$4,000 to \$12,400 in potential annual savings.

## **Lessons Learned**

The second phase's criteria-based application process, which required panel photos produced stronger site follow-through and allowed SCP to provide initial technical reviews in about 8 business days. Sharing draft site designs with customers before finalizing assessments reduced late revisions, and the smaller four-charger projects were more likely to reach completion.

Several remaining barriers are outside the program's direct control. Electrical service upgrades requiring new panels or transformers remain the single largest cost driver and depend on PG&E's timeline. Tribal government sites face funding cycles and council approval processes that do not always align with utility program deadlines, and some state funding sources prohibit stacking with CCA incentives.

## **Proposed New Technical Assistance Agreement**

Rather than issue a new competitive solicitation, staff recommend adopting the same competitively procured contract structure used by Peninsula Clean Energy (now WestLight Energy) and Silicon Valley Clean Energy (SVCE). WestLight competitively

procured Ecology Action for its EV Ready Program, and SVCE followed in 2026 with its own three-year contract. A clause in Ecology Action's agreement with WestLight requires it to extend comparable terms to other CCAs.

Ecology Action is a Santa Cruz-based nonprofit that implements energy and electrification projects in equity communities across California's multifamily and commercial sectors, including tens of millions of dollars in California Energy Commission multifamily REACH grants and providing technical assistance for PG&E's Multifamily and Small Business EV Charging Direct Install Program.

Building on the previous contract, the new agreement adds marketing to the one-on-one technical assistance, scaling the program to better serve host employers and multifamily residents while advancing SCP's grid reliability and emission reduction goals.

This structure also lowers costs as Ecology Action's technical assistance, excluding marketing and customer acquisition (not part of AESC's scope), comes to approximately \$8,937 per evaluation (\$536,200 for at least 60 assessments). That's 24% below AESC's \$11,800 per-site cost under its \$118,000 agreement for 10 sites.

### **Fiscal Impact**

The agreement has a not-to-exceed amount of \$766,000 for the three-year term, covering Ecology Action's program administration, marketing, and technical assistance services. Customer rebates for charger hardware and installation remain budgeted separately and are included under SCP's Customer Energy Solutions Fiscal Year 2026-2027 budget. Future-year costs are contingent on Board approval of those budgets.

### **Agency Goals**

This agreement supports SCP's transportation electrification goals by extending affordable EV charging access to workplace with a continued focus on disadvantaged communities, tribal governments, and nonprofits. The expanded technical assistance scope also positions newly installed chargers to be enrolled in the SCP Rewards managed charging program to further reduce impact on the grid during times of high grid stress.

The daytime charging pattern of workplace charging directly supports solar optimization and grid reliability goals. Workplace charging also directly supports SCP's 2026 Integrated Resource Plan, presented to the Board in June 2026, which sets a preferred-portfolio target of 400 megawatt-hours of daily load shifting by 2045 and anticipates a need to support at least 30 workplace chargers per year in SCP's territory to help meet that target.

## Attachments

- Attachment 1 – Draft Agreement with Ecology Action, available at [this link](#) or by request to the Clerk of the Board